**FUNCTIONAL** 

www.afm-journal.de

# Alternative Local Melting-Solidification of Suspended Nanoparticles for Heterostructure Formation Enabled by Pulsed Laser Irradiation

Mohammad Sadegh Shakeri,\* Zaneta Swiatkowska-Warkocka, Oliwia Polit, Tatiana Itina, Alexey Maximenko, Joanna Depciuch, Jacek Gurgul, Marzena Mitura-Nowak, Marcin Perzanowski, Andrzej Dziedzic, and Jarosław Nęcki

Phase formation by pulsed laser irradiation of suspended nanoparticles has recently been introduced as a promising synthesis technique for heterostructures. The main challenge still lingers regarding the exact mechanism of particle formation due to the non-equilibrium kinetic by-products resulting from the localized alternative, fast, high-temperature nature of the process. Here, the authors analyze the bond breaking/formation of copper or copper (II) interfaces with ethanol during the absorption of pulses for Cu-CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O formation applicable as an electrocatalyst in ethanol oxidation fuel cells. This study includes but is not limited to, a comprehensive discussion of the interaction between nano-laser pulses and suspension for practical control of the synthesis process. The observed exponential and logarithmic changes in the content of heterostructures for the CuO-ethanol and Cu-ethanol samples irradiated with different fluences are interpreted as the dominant role of physical and chemical reactions, respectively, during the pulsed laser irradiation of suspensions synthesis. It is also shown that the local interface between dissociated ethanol and the molten sphere is responsible for the oxidative/reductive interactions resulting in the formation of catalytic-augmented Cu<sup>3+</sup> by-product, thanks to the reactive bond force field molecular dynamics studies confirmed by ab-initio calculations and experimental observations.

# 1. Introduction

It is no exaggeration to say that the high application potential of the interaction between lasers and matter fascinates the scientific community with new applications every day.<sup>[1-13]</sup> In this respect, the concept of laser-suspension interaction is not yet fully developed, but it promises a whole range of applications due to the temperature selectivity enabled by the absorption rules. When a suspension is irradiated with a laser pulse, the electromagnetic wave is locally absorbed by the suspended agglomerates, resulting in a temperature rise. Depending on their temperature, possible phase formations/transitions take place in a short time of the pulse duration. Immediately, the particles are cooled to ambient temperature by thermal equilibrium with the solvent molecules, which are transparent to the laser beam. This process is alternatively repeated during pulsed laser irradiation of suspensions (PLIS), initially known as pulsed laser melting in liquid (PLML).[14-20] In 2007, Ishikawa et al.<sup>[21]</sup> reported for the

M. S. Shakeri, Z. Swiatkowska-Warkocka, O. Polit, J. Depciuch, M. Mitura-Nowak, M. Perzanowski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences Krakow PL-31342, Poland E-mail: ms.shakeri@ifj.edu.pl T. Itina Université Jean Monnet Saint-Etienne CNRS Institut d Optique Graduate School Laboratoire Hubert Curien UMR 5516 Saint-Étienne F-42023, France A. Maximenko SOLARIS National Synchrotron Radiation Centre Jagiellonian University Krakow 30-392, Poland

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202304359

#### DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202304359

J. Depciuch

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Medical University of Lublin Lublin 20-059, Poland J. Gurgul Jerzy Haber Institute of Catalysis and Surface Chemistry Polish Academy of Sciences Niezapominajek 8, Krakow 30-239, Poland A. Dziedzic College of Natural Sciences University of Rzeszow Pigonia 1, Rzeszow 35-310, Poland J. Nęcki AGH University of Science and Technology Al. Adama Mickiewicza 30, Kraków 30-059, Poland

**4DVANCED** 

SCIENCE NEWS



**Figure 1.** Phase analysis and temperature evolution in the system. a) XRD patterns of Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol samples containing Cu, CuO, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O phases. b)  $logP_{O_2} - T$  plot at  $P_{CO} = 1$  and  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  bar calculated using HSC Chemistry software for the Cu-O-C system. c) Plot of laser fluence versus diameter (J-D) of Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol. To display phase diagrams with the functionality of laser/suspension parameters, the reaction

JĀI

first time that unlike the laser ablation process used to produce smaller nanoparticles, PLML uses lower laser fluences and forms larger particles than the original constituents by melting. Later, an absorption model was introduced to calculate the temperature of suspended particles irradiated by a pulsed laser<sup>[22]</sup> followed by the addition of a cooling term to the model to calculate the temperature even more accurately.<sup>[23]</sup> However, these efforts facilitate the interpretation of thermodynamic phase transitions during PLIS; the undeniable role of the kinetics of the probable chemical reactions has been ignored.

The lower toxicity and low market cost of ethanol makes it a suitable source for building fuel cells as promising and advanced energy systems to reduce dependence on fossil fuels.<sup>[24]</sup> During a complete oxidation scheme of a direct ethanol fuel cell (DEFC), 12 hydroxyl anions are consumed to oxidize ethanol to carbon dioxide and water.<sup>[25,26]</sup> Membrane-based acidic and alkaline DEFC types have been developed, with platinum and palladium recognized as the most effective catalysts.<sup>[27]</sup> Recently, increased efforts have been made to develop low-cost and efficient electrocatalysts to replace the above conventional materials, which suffer from high cost, low abundance, and surface poisoning due to carbon monoxide absorption.<sup>[28,29]</sup> To overcome these problems, many works in the literature report the use of copperbased materials, mostly in metallic form.<sup>[30-36]</sup> The use of copper particles to increase the surface area, which is the most important factor for high catalytic performance, has been limited due to their susceptibility to oxidation under atmospheric conditions. There have been numerous efforts to develop methods to increase the stability of Cu particles by modifying their sensitivity in contact with chemical substances, including the use of alternative Cu-based particles with more complex structures based on copper oxides. Cu-based catalysts can perform a variety of reactions via both one-electron and two-electron pathways because they have a wide range of oxidation states (Cu, Cu(I), Cu(II), and Cu(III)). Therefore, they have found many applications including organic species degradation, electrocatalysis, and photocatalysis. The main challenge in developing catalytic particles is to develop compounds that are highly active, selective, stable, robust, and cost-effective, which makes Cu/CuO-based particles a suitable candidate for ethanol oxidation fuel cells.[37-53]

Here, we first attempted to investigate how the laser/suspension parameters affect particle formation during nano-pulsed laser irradiation of suspended Cu or CuO nanoparticles in ethanol by studying the thermodynamically stable phases using conventional and PLIS-based phase diagrams. The oxidation state of copper was also analyzed experimentally and theoretically to reveal the evolution of Cu-CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O pro-

duction as well as the possible formation of kinetic by-products. Besides, reactive bond molecular dynamics (RBMD) was used to study the kinetics of bond breaking/formation when the temperature of the ethanol-particle box is increased. In parallel, we proposed a mathematical model utilizing the laser-suspension parameters to consider the alternative particle growth during the PLIS process. We introduced the high-temperature solvent-sphere interactions, in addition to the physical phase transitions, as the main mechanisms for the PLIS synthesis of remarkable Cu-CuO-Cu2O electrocatalysts for ethanol oxidation fuel cells. Exploring the precise role of effective laser-suspension parameters, particle temperature evolution, phase formation mechanism, and alternative particle growth not only makes the PLIS process a preferred controllable synthesis method for heterostructures but also gives scientists sufficient insight into its potential applications.

# 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Phase Formation and Temperature Evolution

Samples are coded according to the nanoparticles they contain and the laser fluence used for their irradiation. In general, there are two groups of Cu-ethanol (Cu50, Cu100, Cu150, and Cu200) and CuO-ethanol (CuO100, CuO200, CuO300, and CuO400) samples synthesized and studied in the present work (Table S1, Supporting Information). Powders synthesized by the PLIS method contain Cu, CuO, and Cu2O phases according to JCPDS No. 003-1018, 48-1548, and 05-0667, respectively (Figure 1a). The change in the intensity of the peaks showed the laserinduced variation in the oxidation state of the Cu-CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O composite. According to the calculated phase diagrams in the Cu-O-C-H system, oxygen pressure plays an important role in the transitions between copper and its oxides  $(log P_{O_2} - T)$ diagram) when the temperature is high enough to avoid the formation of Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and CuCO<sub>3</sub> phases (Figure 1b). Moreover, the high pressure of CO  $(logP_{CO} - logP_{O_2}$  diagram) causes the reduction of the oxide phases and the subsequent formation of metallic Cu (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Therefore, it is more likely that Cu, CuO, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O and less likely that Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and CuCO<sub>3</sub> are the thermodynamically stable phases that can be crystallized in this system.

The laser fluence (J) required for all possible transitions was calculated for different sizes (D) of Cu and CuO spheres (Figure 1c) according to the phase transitions listed in **Table 1**. The changes in Gibbs free energy and enthalpy of the probable

pathways of Cu and CuO phases were recorded by calculating the temperature dependence of enthalpy and Gibbs free energy of the phases (Table S2 and Figure S2, Supporting Information). Consequently,  $J\sigma_{abs}^{\lambda}(d) = m_p \Delta H$  was solved numerically to represent the laser fluence (J) required for all possible transitions as a function of particle sizes. d) Temperature profile of Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol systems showing how the temperature of a sphere changes during absorption of a laser pulse. The temperature profile of the particles was calculated numerically using the 4<sup>th</sup> order Rung–Kutta solution of  $\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dE_{abs}}{dt} - \frac{dq}{dt}$  when both energy absorption and heat release are considered, simultaneously. These curves were plotted for the Cu sphere with a diameter of 250 nm, which were selected on the basis of the average agglomerate size of their stable suspension in ethanol (Figure S22, Supporting Information). e) Maximum temperature of particles as a function of size for Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol samples. The dashed lines show the transition temperatures. The initial size distribution of agglomerates in the suspension is believed to be responsible for the multiphase crystallization leading to the synthesis of Cu-CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O heterostructures. For the diagrams in (c-e), the yellow, green, and truquoise fill colors indicate solid, liquid, and gaseous Cu, respectively, for Cu-based diagrams. For CuO-based diagrams, the purple, blue, orange, and red colors indicate solid CuO, solid Cu<sub>2</sub>O, liquid Cu<sub>2</sub>O, and gaseous Cu, respectively.

www.advancedsciencenews.com

No.	Heating regime	Reaction	Initial temperature [K]	Final temperature [K]	$\Delta$ H [kJ per mole]	
Cu						
J1 <sub>Cu</sub>	Heating of solid Cu	$Cu (s) + hv \rightarrow Cu (s)$	T <sub>0</sub> /298	<i>T<sub>m</sub></i> /1357	29.642	
J2 <sub>Cu</sub>	Cu melting	$Cu (s) + hv \rightarrow Cu (l)$	T <sub>m</sub> /1357	T <sub>m</sub> /1357	13.264	
J3 <sub>Cu</sub>	Heating liquid Cu	$Cu (l) + hv \rightarrow Cu (l)$	T <sub>m</sub> /1357	<i>T<sub>b</sub></i> /2843	46.636	
J4 <sub>Cu</sub>	Cu boiling	Cu (l) + $hv \rightarrow Cu$ (g)	$T_{b}/2843$	<i>T<sub>b</sub></i> /2843	300.150	
CuO						
Jl <sub>CuO</sub>	Heating of solid CuO	CuO (s) + $hv \rightarrow$ CuO (s)	T <sub>0</sub> /298	$T_{CuO(s)\to Cu_2O(s)}/1379$	57.073	
J2 <sub>CuO</sub>	CuO decomposition to solid $Cu_2O$	4CuO (s) + $hv \rightarrow$ 2Cu <sub>2</sub> O (s) + O <sub>2</sub> (g)	$T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}/1379$	$T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}/1379$	63.881	
J3 <sub>CuO</sub>	Heating of solid Cu <sub>2</sub> O	$Cu_2O(s) + hv \rightarrow 2Cu_2O(s)$	$T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}/1379$	$T_m/1517$	12.378	
J4 <sub>CuO</sub>	Cu <sub>2</sub> O melting	$Cu_2O(s) + hv \rightarrow Cu_2O(l)$	T <sub>m</sub> /1517	<i>T<sub>m</sub></i> /1517	65.600	
J5 <sub>CuO</sub>	Heating of liquid Cu <sub>2</sub> O	$Cu_2O(I) + hv \rightarrow Cu_2O(I)$	T <sub>m</sub> /1517	$T_{Cu_2O(l) \rightarrow Cu(g)}/2858$	133.965	
J6 <sub>CuO</sub>	$Cu_2O$ decomposition to gaseous Cu	$2Cu_2O$ (l) + h $\upsilon \rightarrow 4Cu$ (g) + $O_2$ (g)	$T_{Cu_2O(l)\to Cu(g)}/2858$	$T_{Cu_2O(l)\to Cu(g)}/2858$	706.888	

Table 1. Transition temperature, enthalpy, and laser fluence required for the reaction pathways of Cu and CuO phases.

phases were examined to suggest these transitions (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Similarly, the dielectric constant was manipulated in a way to show the flexible phase boundaries created by the dynamic absorption efficiency at high temperatures. The dynamic absorption during the PLIS process was calculated from the difference between the refractive indices of the particles in ethanol and in vacuum (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The temperature profile calculated from the data in Table S2, Supporting Information (Figure 1d) shows that the contribution of energy absorption dominates in the first and middle thirds of the Gaussian pulse, while heat dissipation predominates in the last third (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Therefore, pulse shape and pulse duration are responsible for the conservation of energy and heat dissipation, respectively. On the other hand, nanoscale Cu and CuO particles experience the highest temperatures of 2843 and 2858 K, respectively, while according to the maximum temperature calculations, there is an inverse relationship between temperature and submicron particle size (Figure 1e).

### 2.2. Evaluation of Oxidation States

The peak at 212 cm<sup>-1</sup> of Raman spectra (**Figure 2**a,b), corresponding to the 2E<sub>u</sub> phonon mode, is produced by the twisting of the Cu<sub>4</sub>O tetrahedron around the *c*-axis of Cu<sub>2</sub>O. There are also all three visible phonon modes of CuO, that is, A<sub>g</sub> mode centered at 280 cm<sup>-1</sup>, B<sub>g</sub> at 325 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 2B<sub>g</sub> at 610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The T<sub>1u</sub> modes of Cu<sub>2</sub>O and/or bis- $\mu$ -oxo dimer core vibration of CuO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (Cu (III)) also oscillate at 610 cm<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>[54,55]</sup> Raman spectra showed greater formation of Cu<sub>2</sub>O in the higher fluence irradiated CuO-ethanol samples and maximization of Cu<sub>2</sub>O in Cu150 among Cu-ethanol samples.

The binding energy (BE) in the range of 925–960 eV reveals complex spectral features of the Cu 2p photoelectron spectrum (Figure 2c,f). In addition to the well-separated spin-orbit peaks with total momentum j = 3/2 and 1/2, there are much weaker additional structures in between associated with shake-up satellites. It is worth noting that shake-up satellites of varying intensity can only be observed for Cu<sup>2+</sup>, which has a d<sup>9</sup> configuration

in the ground state, and they can occur when outgoing photoelectrons interact with valence electrons excited to higher energy levels. The shake-up satellites do not occur in d<sup>10</sup> Cu<sup>0</sup> or Cu<sup>+</sup> spectra. Unfortunately, it is not possible to distinguish between Cu<sup>0</sup> and CuO, as well as between Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Cu<sub>2</sub>O, because the chemical shift of the Cu L<sub>3</sub>M<sub>45</sub>M<sub>45</sub> band is very small.

Four doublets were used to properly fit the Cu 2p spectra (Figure 2c,f). The spin-orbit splitting of all doublets was in the range of 19.7–19.9 eV. The most intense components come from the metallic Cu<sup>0</sup> and Cu<sub>2</sub>O species (932.5–932.9 eV), octahedral CuO (933.3–934.0 eV), and Cu<sup>2+</sup> hydroxide species (>935.0 eV).<sup>[56–58]</sup> The weakest doublet (<5.6%) with Cu 2p<sub>3/2</sub> BE values of 930.9–931.6 eV is caused by the differential charging of the supported Cu particles compared to the silica support surface. A similar phenomenon has already been observed for metallic ruthenium on MgO and SiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[59]</sup> and for Ru/Ce systems on mesocellular silica foam<sup>[60]</sup> and explained as a final state effect due to the higher internal conductivity of the supported Ru compared to the conductivity of the dielectric support.

The kinetic energy of the Cu L3M45M45 Auger peaks was calculated for two main contributions marked by arrows in Figure 2d,g. The first contribution shows the Auger parameter of copper near 1849.1 eV, which is consistent with Cu<sub>2</sub>O in the literature.<sup>[61–64]</sup> The second contribution shows the Auger parameter near 1851.6 eV for all measured samples. According to Biesinger et al.<sup>[61,65]</sup> the distinction between Cu<sup>0</sup> (1851.2 eV for metallic Cu) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> species (1851.3 eV for CuO and 1850.9 eV for Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>) is almost impossible. Therefore, the second contribution should be treated as coming from metallic copper and Cu<sup>2+</sup> oxide species together (and marked in this way in Figure 2c,f).

The O 1s spectra (Figure 2e) of Cu-ethanol samples show four components: (I) a peak at 529.9–530.7 eV related to oxygen in copper oxides (CuO and Cu<sub>2</sub>O), (II) a peak assigned to hydroxyl groups and Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> (BE = 531.5–531.9 eV), (III) adsorbed water (BE = 532.6–533.7 eV), and (IV) a weak contribution at BE >534.6 eV that can be attributed to oxygen in organic impurities.<sup>[57,61]</sup> Exactly the same components are visible in the O 1s spectra of CuO-ethanol samples (Figure 2h). It is worth noting that only one component corresponding to Cu<sup>0</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> species is visible

IENCE NEWS

ς

ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS www.afm-journal.de



www.advancedsciencenews.com

Core excitation	Doublet No.	Cu50		Cu100		Cu150		Cu200	
		BE (eV)	Area (%)						
Cu 2p3/2	1	931.2	4.0	931.3	4.9	931.2	4.7	931.2	3.5
	2	932.5	42.3	932.6	63.2	932.6	70.9	932.6	52.1
	3	933.4	33.3	933.3	23.5	933.6	18.3	933.4	27.3
	4	935.0	20.4	935.4	8.4	936.3	6.1	935.2	17.1
Cu LMM	1	568.3	32.2	568.2	27.7	567.9	20.6	568.3	42.0
	2	569.7	67.8	570.2	72.3	570.1	79.4	570.1	58.0
O 1s	1	529.9	50.1	530.6	36.0	530.6	32.2	530.7	20.5
	2	531.5	36.9	531.9	5.5	531.8	18.7	531.9	51.3
	3	533.7	11.0	533.0	55.6	532.6	44.9	532.8	24.0
	4	535.1	2.0	535.4	2.9	535.1	4.2	534.6	4.2

Table 2. The BE values (eV) and relative areas of the components (%) of Cu 2p<sub>3/2</sub>, Cu LMM, and O 1s peaks for Cu-ethanol samples.

in the CuLMM spectrum of the CuO-raw sample. The absence of a component corresponding to Cu<sup>+</sup> species is reflected in the O 1s spectrum as a shift of the component with BE = 529.9 eV to lower values of binding energy compared to the other samples (530.6–531.0 eV). The shift to lower BE values of the component corresponding to Cu<sub>2</sub>O and CuO is also evident in the Cu50 spectrum.

Several contributions were found in the C 1s core lines of the studied samples (Figure S5 and Table S3, Supporting Information). The organic contaminants (285.0 eV), C-O groups (285.9–286.6 eV), and C = O groups (287.9–288.8 eV) were the components with the highest contribution to each spectrum. In addition, a small amount of carbides and a significant amount of O–C=O groups (289.1–290.0 eV) were found. The hydrocarbon impurities were used as internal calibration for our samples, as mentioned above.

From the above facts, we conclude that a much stronger shakeup satellite accompanied by a Cu 2p peak in XPS spectra is associated with more Cu<sup>2+</sup> species.<sup>[56,65]</sup> Moreover, the absolute BE of Cu 2p peaks do not allow us to distinguish between Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>0</sup> oxidation states and between octahedral Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>+</sup> since the differences between their energies are small.<sup>[61–63]</sup> In such a case, the distinction between Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>0</sup> species can be derived from Wagner's Cu 2p<sub>3/2</sub>–Cu L<sub>3</sub>M<sub>45</sub>M<sub>45</sub> diagram.<sup>[61,64]</sup> Thus, to investigate the oxidation states quantitatively, we used information from high-resolution spectra of Cu 2p (Figure 2c,f), Cu L<sub>3</sub>M<sub>45</sub>M<sub>45</sub> (Figure 2d,g), and O 1s (Figure 2e,h) regions simultaneously. The main photoelectron peaks of Cu 2p are accompanied by strong shake-up satellites in Cu50 and CuO-RAW samples confirming the presence of copper mainly as Cu<sup>2+</sup> species.<sup>[66,67]</sup> On the other hand, the weakest satellites are observed in the spectra obtained for Cu150 and CuO400, reflected in the smallest area of the sum of their CuO and Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> components (Cu<sup>2+</sup> content), which is less than 37% of the total Cu 2p area. In Cu-ethanol samples, an increase in the low oxidation states (Cu<sup>0</sup> and Cu<sup>+</sup>) was observed with increasing laser fluence at the expense of a decrease in the Cu<sup>2+</sup> components (both oxide and hydroxide). For Cu150, the (Cu<sup>0</sup> + Cu<sup>+</sup>)/Cu<sup>2+</sup> ratio reached a maximum value of 2.9 (**Table 2**), while amongCuO-ethanol samples, it was maximized at about 1.7 for CuO200 and CuO400 (**Table 3**).

The Cu K-edge absorption spectra include a pre-edge, an excrescence, and the main peak attributed to  $1s \rightarrow 3d$ ,  $1s \rightarrow 4p_z$ , and  $1s \rightarrow 4p_{x,\gamma}$  transitions, respectively (Figure 2i,j).<sup>[68]</sup> Although the  $1s \rightarrow 3d$  transition is forbidden according to the dipole selection rules, the pre-edge feature is defined as 3d-4p orbital mixing as well as vibronic and direct quadrupole coupling.<sup>[69,70]</sup> The energy of the main peak decreases with laser fluence, while the excrescence energy is constant in all samples. However, the pre-edge shift behaves inconsistently, i.e., it increases for Cu-ethanol samples and decreases for CuO-ethanol samples (Table S4, Supporting Information), which is attributed to Cu oxidation and CuO reduction, respectively. Consequently, greater copper oxidation shifts the pre-edge to higher energies and vice versa.

Linear combination fitting (LCF) of composite powders showed wall-to-wall conformance when Cu, CuO, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O reference spectra were utilized (**Figure 3**a–f). It also showed the enrichment of Cu and Cu<sub>2</sub>O and the reduction of CuO with increasing laser fluence in both sample groups, which fully confirms the extracted results of XPS (Table S5, Supporting Information). Using the LCF, we concluded that phase transformations progress

**Figure 2.** Static oxidation evaluation in the system. Raman spectra of a) Cu-ethanol and b) CuO-ethanol samples. Each sample was analyzed with more than five laser foci of Raman spectroscopy, and the data presented here are those obtained with the maximum repetition (Figure S16, Supporting Information). Among nine optical phonon modes of CuO with  $C_{2h}^6$  space group and 15 modes of Cu<sub>2</sub>O with  $O_{h}^4$  space group, four vibrational modes were observed in the Raman spectra of the samples, shown with dashed lines. XPS analysis of c) Cu 2p, d) Cu LMM, and e) O 1s spectra for Cu-ethanol system. XPS analysis of f) Cu 2p, g) Cu LMM, and h) O 1s spectra for CuO-ethanol system. The Cu 2p, Cu LMM, and O 1s spectra were fitted with 4, 2, and 4 spin-orbit doublets, respectively. The details of the quantitative analysis derived from XPS curves were presented in Part S1c, Supporting Information. Normalized extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) for Cu K-edge spectra of i) Cu-ethanol samples. All samples were analyzed three times and the average spectrum was reproduced here. Analysis of the Cu foil reference sample was performed before each measurement to meet calibration criteria. The changes in the oxidation state of the composite powders are shown in these curves. The detailed X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analysis is described in Part S1d, Supporting Information.

www.advancedsciencenews.com

Core excitation	Doublet	CuC	D-raw	CuO100		CuO200		CuO300		CuO400	
	INO.	BE (eV)	Area (%)								
Cu 2p <sub>3/2</sub>	1	930.9	1.0	931.6	5.6	931.4	5.3	931.3	3.4	931.5	4.5
·	2	932.8	33.8	932.9	43.2	932.8	57.6	932.8	49.9	932.9	59.7
	3	934.0	44.9	933.7	36.0	933.4	25.3	933.6	25.4	933.5	23.9
	4	935.6	20.3	935.7	15.2	935.3	11.8	935.2	21.3	935.1	11.9
Cu LMM	1	568.9	100	568.7	41.4	568.4	35.6	568.3	30.7	568.2	23.6
	2	-	-	570.3	58.6	570.3	64.4	570.2	69.3	570.3	76.4
O 1s	1	529.9	45.2	530.6	47.8	530.8	45.0	530.9	28.4	531.0	32.9
	2	531.5	18.1	532.1	33.3	532.1	26.4	532.1	39.8	532.1	37.8
	3	533.0	32.9	533.7	15.3	533.2	22.1	533.3	26.7	533.3	20.6
	4	534.8	3.8	535.2	3.6	535.7	6.5	535.8	5.1	535.7	8.7

Table 3. The BE values (eV) and relative areas of the components (%) of Cu 2p<sub>3/2</sub>, Cu LMM, and O 1s peaks for CuO-ethanol samples.

from the center of CuO particles toward the surface, with physical transitions being the major contributor. In contrast, for Cu, the phase transformations proceed from the surface to the center of the particles, with chemical oxidation/reduction interactions accounting for most of the transitions (Figure 3g).

We also calculated the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of the possible phases using the ab initio Green's function (GW) approximation (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The Gaussian fit of the X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra of all calculated models and measured samples (Figure S7, Supporting Information) is summarized in Table S6, Supporting Information.

## 2.3. Molecular Dynamics Study

We observed the increasing traces of ethylene, methane, ethane, and carbon monoxide by laser fluence in the chromatograph chamber (**Table 4**). These compounds are formed by the dissociation of ethanol, although we know that the solvent is transparent to the laser beam. Therefore, we decided to investigate the particle-solvent interaction by means of reactive bond molecular dynamics (RBMD) simulations to support the hypothesis that phase formation during the PLIS process occurs not only through thermodynamic phase transitions but also through oxidation/reduction processes induced by the interactions between dissociated solvent and molten sphere.

According to the RBMD simulation (Table S7, Supporting Information), the interaction between the solvent and the solid phase starts with the absorption of ethanol at the solid surface (Video S1, Supporting Information). In the correlated MB1 simulation box (Figure S8, Supporting Information), the decomposition of ethanol begins with the absorption of the separated  $OH^-$  groups on the Cu surface. The remaining  $C_2H_5$  is decomposed to  $C_2H_4$ , followed by the formation of smaller hydrocarbons (**Figure 4a**). The behavior of CuO in the MB2 simulation box, on the other hand, is different, since the decomposition of the solvent begins with the splitting off of H<sup>+</sup> and its subsequent adsorption on the oxide surface (Figure 4b). The stronger tendency to react with oxygenated anions and hydrogenated cations is the main difference between the behavior of Cu and CuO heated

spheres, respectively (Table S8, Supporting Information). The reason for observing such a difference is attributed to the basic tendency of  $Cu^0/Cu^{2+}$  to be oxidized/reduced in ethanol, depending on the oxygen content of the box, which is also confirmed by DFT calculations (Part S1f, Supporting Information).<sup>[71–76]</sup>

According to the results of DFT, the calculated absorption energies of ethanol on the surfaces of Cu (111) and CuO (111) indicate that metallic copper, due to its higher oxidation tendency, is more willing to absorb ethanol by combining with both oxygen and hydrogen of the OH group. CuO, on the other hand, absorbs only the H atom of the OH group with a comparatively smaller tendency. The band gap of CuO was calculated to be 1.45 eV, which is in good agreement with the literature. Moreover, the density of states of ethanol does not affect the band gap of CuO and there is no state in the gap. On the other hand, the denser states of copper correspond to the density of states of ethanol; therefore, ethanol obviously affects the DOS of Cu.

By changing the temperature of the simulation box (MB3 and MB4 models), the only difference detected was the ethanol decomposition rate, which was found to be 0.60, 5.35, and 55.42 molecules  $ps^{-1}$  for the solvents decomposed at 1000, 1500, and 2843 K, respectively. The same difference was observed when the temperatures of the solvent and the sphere were different (Figure 4c,d). As the model with the lowest decomposition rate, absorption penetration, mixing, and chemical reactions of ethanol and hydroxyl cleavage were observed on the surface of the MB6 model throughout the simulation period. Consequently, the temperature change influences the phase formation by changing the decomposition rate of the solvent.

Considering the statistical analysis in terms of the new species timeline (MB7 model), oxidation evolution proceeds through I) ethanol absorption on the Cu surface, II) hydroxyl cleavage of ethanol, III) formation of Cu clusters during copper melting, IV) surface oxidation with hydrogenated compounds, V) decomposition of  $C_2H_5$  into smaller hydrocarbons, and VI) net oxidation (Table S9, Supporting Information). Ethanol decomposition for the CuO-ethanol system is accelerated compared to the Cu-ethanol system (Figure 4e). Statistical analysis also showed that surface oxidation begins with the absorption of hydroxyl and water molecules by Cu clusters (Figure S9, Supporting Information). Later, the hydrogen is separated and the oxygen

**ADVANCED** 

SCIENCE NEWS



ED **JCTIONAL** 

FUN

#### ADVANCE FUNCTION MATERIAL

#### SCIENCE NEWS \_\_\_\_\_ www.advancedsciencenews.com

www.afm-journal.de

Gas	Unit	Before	irradiation	After irradiation				
		Cu-ethanol	CuO-ethanol	Cu100	Cu200	CuO200	CuO400	
CO <sub>2</sub>	PPM	704.3	705.4	674.8	641.5	762.8	845.5	
СО	PPM	1.9	1.9	10.4	14.8	29.5	208.9	
O <sub>2</sub>	%	20.68	20.70	20.71	20.69	20.61	20.47	
CH <sub>4</sub>	PPM	2.9	2.6	3.1	3.7	7.8	35.8	
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	PPM	0.0	0.0	0.8	2.7	2.6	14.7	
$C_2H_4$	PPM	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	1.3	67.5	

**Table 4.** Results of gas chromatographic analyzes of Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol samples before and after irradiation with different laser fluences. The formation of  $C_2H_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  and the increase in the content of  $CH_4$  and CO show the partial dissociation of the solvent during the PLIS process.

forms bonds with several copper atoms to achieve net oxidation (Video S2, Supporting Information). In the simulations, corresponding correlations were observed for boththe pressure tensor and the dipole moment (Figure S10, Supporting Information). Time-dependent snapshots of the MB1 cross-section show deep diffusion of oxygen and hydrogen compounds into the interior of the sphere, while carbon compounds tend to remain in the surface layers. The accumulation of carbon at the surface was also observed in the HAADF-EDX map of particles (Figure 4f).

#### 2.4. Microstructure and Particle Growth

Analysis of different parts of a given sample by shifting the laser focus in Raman spectroscopy revealed widespread changes in the intensity of Raman shifts (Figure S15, Supporting Information). The synchronization between PLIS-based phase diagrams (Figure 1c,e) and the size distribution of the resulting particles (**Figure 5**a–h) indicated the possible crystallization of different phases, which was also confirmed by HRTEM analysis (Part S1h, Supporting Information).

To examine particle formation during the PLIS process in more detail, we have attempted to derive a series of equations to see how particles grow during the PLIS process (Part S1j, Supporting Information). According to this model, the agglomerates are irradiated with a laser pulse, which leads to the fusion and formation of larger particles (Figure 5k). Since the repetition rate of the pulsed laser irradiation is low here, these particles can form new agglomerates, which with a certain probability can be irradiated by another laser pulse, leading to new growth up to a size that can be melted by the laser beam.<sup>[18]</sup> The calculated probability of the probe (*X*) showed that the different sizes of Cu agglomerates are irradiated almost between 1 to 2 times, while CuO agglomerates are irradiated between 1 to 3 times (Figure 5i,j). The calculation of  $X \ge 1$  rejected the hypothesis of the presence of copper which was calculated by LCF of EXAFS spectra as the residual phase. The difference between the average size of the agglomerates and the particles for the particles obtained from the Cu50

sample is due to the small size of the particles and their tendency to agglomerate more. Moreover, the difference between the maximum size of the melted particles and the average size of the agglomerates is due to the fact that more than 1 h of irradiation at higher laser fluence is required for these two parameters to have the same value (**Table 5**).

The formation of the necking zone observed mainly in the low fluence irradiated samples (Figure 51-o) was also simulated by the MB9 model (Figure 5p). Here, the atoms involved in the bond-breaking/formation process move towards the center of the simulation box, while the necking zone between two spheres is observed first (Video S3, Supporting Information). Finally, multistage modeling (MB10 and MB11 models) showed a more complete dissociation of the solvent due to the increased formation of H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, and C<sub>2</sub> molecules compared to the previous stage (Figure S12, Supporting Information). Furthermore, solvent degradation accelerated with an increasing number of stages, indicating greater net oxidation (Figure S13, Supporting Information). The stronger dissociation of the solvent molecules in the multistage models (MB10, MB11) allows the carbon species to diffuse deep into the particle interior; therefore, there would be no carbon shell on the surface of the particle as previously observed in the single-stage simulation boxes (MB1, MB2). This suggests that the alternative nature of the process is not only responsible for the growth of the particles but also for the oxidation/reduction process leading to the removal of the carbon shell, which is also confirmed by EDX measurements on larger particles (Figure S20, Supporting Information).

### **2.5.** Possible Formation of $Cu_2O_3$

In some SAED patterns (**Figure 6**a), we detected *d*-spacing around 3.25 Å, indicating a possible trace of a different oxidation state of copper<sup>[55]</sup> A high-resolution XRD pattern also proved the existence of a characteristic peak at  $2\theta = 27.5$  (Figure 6b) attributed to the (111) plane of the orthorhombic Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase (Figure 6c). We found that the Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase is located at the

**Figure 3.** Quantitative analysis of EXAFS spectra. Linear combination fitting (LCF) of normalized EXAFS spectra of a) Cu50, b) Cu100, c) Cu200, d) Cu0100, e) Cu0200, and f) Cu0400 samples using copper foil, Cu0 Merck, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O Merck references. The amount of residual for all fitting curves is less than 1%. g) Quantitative phase analysis of samples derived from LCF of EXAFS spectra. Although the changes have been shown for samples irradiated by three different laser fluences, the exponential and logarithmic trend of increase/decrease of each phase is obvious and has been connected to the physical/chemical phase formation during PLIS.

**ADVANCED** 

SCIENCE NEWS



FÜ

ENCE NEWS

Sample code	Maximum size of particle that can be melted	Average size of resulted agglomerates	Average size of particles		X				
				Without phase transition	With Cu » CuO transition	With Cu » Cu <sub>2</sub> O transition	With CuO » Cu <sub>2</sub> O transition		
Cu50	250	450	250	1.54	1.28	1.25	-		
Cu100	400	320	313	1.80	1.53	1.50	-		
Cu150	550	400	390	2.11	1.83	1.79	-		
Cu200	700	500	470	2.35	2.05	2.00	-		
CuO 100	250	400	392	1.92	-	-	1.87		
CuO200	450	550	534	2.38	-	-	2.33		
CuO300	600	680	559	2.47	-	-	2.42		
CuO400	750	820	734	2.97	-	-	2.92		

Table 5. Amounts for maximum size of particles that can be melted, average size of final agglomerates, average size of particles, and probability of probe.

CuO/Cu<sub>2</sub>O interface (Figure 6d) and/or at the surface of the Cu<sub>2</sub>O particles (Figure S19, Supporting Information). This is mainly due to the formation of regions of high oxygen content during the crystallization of Cu<sub>2</sub>O from CuO, which occurs above 1200 K according to the thermodynamically stable phase diagram (Figure 1b).

#### 2.6. Electrocatalysis of Ethanol Oxidation

The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) showing hydrogen absorption region calculated from the cyclic voltammogram curves (CV) (Figure 7a) showed the highest mass activity<sup>[77,78]</sup> of 5065.00 and 2037.50 cm<sup>2</sup> per  $mg_{Cu}$  for CuO200/C and Cu200/C catalysts, respectively (Table S14, Supporting Information). Moreover, the best catalytic properties were observed for the Cu100/C and Cu200/C catalysts. In general, Cu-ethanol/C catalysts had greater potential for the ethanol oxidation reaction than CuO-ethanol/C catalysts (Figure 7b), which can be attributed to the energy consumption for CuO reduction to metallic Cu<sup>[79,80]</sup> The value of the onset potential was also minimized for Cu100/C and Cu200/C catalysts (Figure S27, Supporting Information). As the most effective catalysts, Cu100/C and Cu200/C were investigated by in situ FTIR to reveal the products of ethanol oxidation (Figure 7c,d). The IRRAS spectral peaks corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub> (complete oxidation), CO, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, and CH<sub>3</sub>COOH

(partial oxidation)<sup>[81,82]</sup> are at 2360, 2100, 1340, and 1280 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure S28, Supporting Information). The highest absorption was observed for  $CO_2$  and the ratio of  $CO_2/CO$  was measured to be 43 and 1400 for Cu200/C and Cu100/C catalysts, respectively (Figure 7c,d and Table S15, Supporting Information). Compared to the Cu200/C catalyst, the Cu100/C catalyst, which consists of smaller particles (larger surface area), produced four times more CO<sub>2</sub> and about 10 times less CO, making it the most effective catalyst among all samples (Table S16, Supporting Information). The Cu100/C catalyst retains its full oxidation potential even after 12 h, which was not observed for Cu200/C. Chronoamperometric tests showed 6 times higher current values for Cu100/C compared to Cu200/C (Figure 7e), while accelerated stability tests showed that the durability after 1000 cycles of the analyzed catalysts was 35% better for Cu100/C compared to Cu200/C (Figure 7f-i). These results confirm that the Cu100/C catalyst has higher electrochemical properties comparable to those of commercial products.

To evaluate the selectivity between the carbonate and acetate pathways during EOR, we analyzed the characteristic bands of the carbonates and acetates. The IRRAS spectra collected for the Cu100/C catalyst show a decreasing trend for the acetate compounds and an increasing trend for the carbonate compounds when the potential is increased (Figure S29a, Supporting Information). In contrast, the intensity of the signals for the Cu200/C catalyst shows that both the acetate and carbonate compounds

Figure 4. Reactive bond force field molecular dynamics simulations (RBFF-MD). Solvent decomposition and formation of new species from ethanol calculated using RBFF-MD for a) Cu-ethanol system according to RB1 model at 2843 K, and b) CuO-ethanol system according to RB2 model at 2858 K. The maximum temperature for each system was chosen based on the data shown in Figure 1e. Solvent decomposition and formation of new species calculated with RBFF-MD for the Cu-ethanol system at a sphere temperature of 2843 K c) with the RB5 model at a solvent temperature of 1000 K, and d) with the RB6 model at a solvent temperature of 298 K. e) Timeline of new species formation on the decomposition curves of ethanol for the Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol systems according to models RB7 and RB8. The species shown here were selected from thousands of new species according to their higher repetition to show the mechanism of bond breaking/formation in the systems. The evolution of the mechanism for Cu-ethanol is illustrated by I) ethanol absorption, II) hydroxyl cleavage, III) formation of Cu clusters, IV) surface oxidation, V) further decomposition of the solvent, and VI) net oxidation. Also shown is I) the absorption of ethanol, II) hydrogen splitting from ethanol, and III) the formation of hydrogenated copper oxide for the CuO-ethanol system. The main difference between bond-breaking mechanisms in Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol systems is that copper absorbs oxygen from ethanol  $(2Cu + \frac{1}{2}O_2 = Cu_2O)$ , while ethanol absorbs oxygen from copper oxide  $(C_2H_5OH + 2O_2 = 2CO_2 + 3H_2O)$ , both for oxidation purposes. These data were collected using the ChemTraYzer module of the AMS software based on a statistical analysis of all time steps of the simulations. f) 3D perspective and front snapshots of the sliced box of the MB1 model during RBFF-MD simulation showing diffusion of species during the bond breaking/formation process. The diffusion of oxygen and hydrogen deep into the sphere and the remaining carbon compounds at the surface layer was confirmed by the EDX analysis results. g) HAADF-EDX Analysis of a  $Cu_2O$  particle synthesized by laser irradiation of a Cu-ethanol suspension at a laser fluence of 150 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>.pulse (Cu150 sample). The accumulation of carbon on the surface is clearly visible.





FUNCTION

increase with increasing potential (Figure S29b, Supporting Information). This indicates less surface contamination of the carbonate compounds for the Cu100/C catalyst. To confirm the electrocatalysis results, the Ag/AgCl reference electrode was replaced by Hg/HgO and the Pt counter electrode was replaced by a carbon electrode, and the same electrocatalytic properties were studied (Part Sl.2, Supporting Information). Hopefully, the results are in broad agreement with those presented here.

We can conclude that apart from the surface area and compositional changes<sup>[83]</sup> that introduced the 27.5%Cu–19.2%CuO-53.3%Cu<sub>2</sub>O (Cu100/C) sample as an optimal electrocatalyst, the remarkable electrocatalysis measured here is also due to the role of Cu<sup>3+</sup> ions on the surface of the particles.<sup>[84]</sup> The higher surface oxide (Cu<sup>3+</sup>) in transition metal oxides (TMOs) and also the accumulation of charge carriers in the TMO heterojunctions facilitate the adsorption of alcohol, the desorption of decomposed molecules and the cleavage of the C-H bond by lowering the kinetic barrier.<sup>[85,86]</sup>

# 3. Conclusion

Suspended agglomerates are heated by the energy absorption of a laser pulse according to their absorption efficiency, depending on the wavelength-dependent absorption cross section calculated by Mie theory. The absorbed energy is directly proportional to the laser fluence and the surface area of the agglomerate. On the other hand, the pulse factors influence the temperature development, so that the pulse shape is responsible for heat conservation, while the pulse duration controls the heat release. Cooling of the particles and re-agglomeration of the irradiated particles occurs in the time between pulses by synergistic agitation of the suspension. In addition, pulse repetition affects particle growth by changing the probability of the probe. The heatingcooling process is repeated depending on the probability of the probe in the system, resulting in alternative particle growth limited by the melting possibility of agglomerates. The size distribution depends on the solvent molecules and the initial size of the nanoparticles, which determines the temperature gradient of the agglomerates. More importantly, the temperature of agglomerates not only determines their thermodynamically stable phases but also affects the rate of solvent dissociation during interfacial solvent-particle interactions.

Although the solvent bath is at ambient temperature, the kinetic energy of the molecules coming into contact with the surface of the heated particles is very high, resulting in the bond breaking/formation at the interface between the dissociated solvent and the heated particles. The dissociated species diffuse into the sphere where the oxidation/reduction reactions take place according to their nature. In ethanol, the oxygen-hydrogen compounds diffuse deep into the particles, while larger carbon compounds tend to reside in the layers near the interface. So while the inner part of a particle has more to do with oxidation and physical transitions, the outer part is more controlled by chemical oxidation/reduction reactions that lead to the formation of kinetic byproducts. We have proposed PLIS as a method that kinetically controls surface phase formation and is suitable for catalyst synthesis. Here, experimental observations confirmed by DFT and MD simulations showed the formation of a higher oxidation state of copper, that is, Cu<sup>3+</sup>, due to the enrichment of oxygen in the CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O interface and/or on the surface of the particles, resulting in the observation of excellent electrocatalysis in the ternary Cu-CuO-Cu<sub>2</sub>O catalyst. The presented optimal catalyst is able to retain its potential for complete ethanol oxidation even after 12 h of use. We have presented the PLIS method as a superior synthesis technique for heterostructures, especially when oxidative/reductive reactions play an important role in the synthesis technique. Future advances and better control of the process will be crucial for this technique to be used industrially. We believe that the present novel study dealing with the comprehensive laser-suspension interaction not only provides a basis for heterostructure synthesis by PLIS but also opens the knowledge gate to the perspective of the discipline.

## 4. Experimental Section

*Raw Materials, Suspension Preparation, and Irradiation Process*: Cu (US Research Nanomaterials, 40 nm average size, 99.9% purity, and 5 mM) or CuO (Sigma Aldrich powder, 50 nm average size, 99.5% purity, and 5 mM) nanoparticles (NPs) were dispersed in ethanol (POCH solvent, 99.8% purity) medium to prepare suspensions with a concentration of 0.0027 g l<sup>-1</sup>. The prepared suspensions were mixed with an ultrasonic device and the amount of 15 ml was filled into a sealed cell with a transparent quartz window (wavelength of 523 nm). The second harmonic of an unfocused pulsed Nd:YAG laser ( $\lambda = 523$  nm) with a frequency of 10 Hz was used for irradiation of the mentioned mixtures. Energy densities of 50, 100, 150, and 200 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>.pulse for Cu-ethanol and 100, 200, 300, and 400 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>.pulse for CuO-ethanol samples were used to irradiate colloidal suspensions for 60 min (Table S1, Supporting Information). Ultrasonic agitation was used during irradiation to prevent undesirable sedimentation of suspended particles/agglomerates.

*Characterizations:* The patterns of the crystal structure of the particles were measured with an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, PANalytical X'Pert Pro). The detection was performed with Cu K $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  = 1.54 Å) radiation at an operating current and voltage of 30 mA and 40 kV, respectively.

The CRM alpha 300R confocal microscope from WITec was used to record Raman spectra. This instrument contained an Olympus MPLAN

**Figure 5.** Particle growth during the PLIS process. Particle size distribution of a–d) Cu-ethanol and e–h) CuO-ethanol samples measured from the analysis of 50 particles in SEM images using ImageJ software. The increasing trend of particle size with laser fluence intensity is clearly visible in both groups of samples. i) Probability of the probe as a function of particle size for both Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol systems. According to the initial size distribution of copper and copper oxide agglomerates shown by dashed lines (Figure S21, Supporting Information), the probability of probe changes in the range of 1.5–2.5 for Cu-ethanol and 0.7–3.2 for CuO-ethanol samples. j) y-X curve for Cu-ethanol and CuO-ethanol systems.  $y = \frac{r_p}{r_{p_0}}$  as growth

ratio shows that the particles in the Cu-ethanol system grow at a higher rate than in the CuO-ethanol system. k) Schematic illustrating the growth mechanism of particles formed not only by physical phase transitions but also by interfacial reactions with the dissociated ethanol. The formation of smaller hydrocarbons in the latter pulse probe shows greater dissociation of ethanol to  $H_2$ ,  $C_2$ , and CO in the absence of sufficient oxygen. SEM images of l) Cu50, m) Cu100, n) Cu150, and o) Cu200 samples showing the formation of irregular shapes with necking zones at lower laser fluences and also soundless spherical particles mainly at higher laser fluences. p) Snapshots of the front view of the MB9 model showing the formation of a necking zone between two particles during RBMD simulation.





**Figure 6.** Analysis of the possibility for  $Cu_2O_3$  formation. a) SAED pattern of a Cu200 particle showing the traces of  $Cu_2O_3$  phase in a multiphase particle containing CuO and  $Cu_2O$ . b) High-resolution XRD pattern of Cu200 sample analyzed at a slow rate of 0.05° min<sup>-1</sup>. It shows a characteristic peak associated with the  $Cu_2O_3$  (111) plane at  $2\theta = 27.5$ . c) Calculated XRD pattern of a relaxed orthogonal  $Cu_2O_3$  unit cell using DFT. d) FFT analysis of a Cu200 particle showing the crystallization of  $Cu_2O_3$  at the interface of the CuO and  $Cu_2O$  oxides based on the calculation of the d-spacing. The enrichment of oxygen during the transition between stable copper oxides (I & II) was considered to be the reason for the crystallization of copper (III) in their interface.

(100x/0.90NA) objective and a laser with a wavelength of 532 nm. Sixty scans were acquired with an integration time of 30 s (a resolution of 3 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The Raman scattering line of a silicon foil (520.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was used to calibrate the monochromator before analysis. To avoid heat generation from the laser, very low laser power was used ( $\approx$ 0.45 mW). As mentioned in the literature, copper-based compounds were generally susceptible to heat-induced phase transitions caused by thermal localization.<sup>[54,55]</sup> WitecFour Plus (version 5.3) was used for baseline correction and cosmic ray removal. Also, GRAMS (version 9.2) was used as a tool for peak fitting.

A multi-chamber UHV system was used with an SES R4000, hemispherical analyzer from Gammadata Scienta for X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The core excitation was produced with an X-ray source (non-monochromatic AlK<sub>a</sub>, 1486.6 eV) equipped with a current emission anode (12 kV and 15 mA). According to the standard ISO 15472:2001, the calibration of the spectrometer was checked. The energy resolution was 0.9 eV at the energy of 100 eV and the area of the analyzed sample was  $5 \times 0.8$  mm (4 mm<sup>2</sup>). The pressure in the chamber was initially set to about  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar, which was about  $2 \times 10^{-9}$  mbar during the analysis. The C 1s line at BE = 285.0 eV was used to correct the measured spectra. Quantitative analysis was performed by calculating the surface area of each peak (CasaXPS 2.3.23). During the fitting, the Shirley-type background was subtracted. In addition, a combination of Gaussian and Lorentz lines (70:30) was used for curve fitting.

The suspensions obtained from PLIS were precipitated on the sample holder and air-dried for X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analysis. Absorbance sieves of finely powdered pure CuO, Cu<sub>2</sub>O (purchased from Merck Co.), and Cu foil were also prepared as a reference for calculating the percentage of phases using the linear combination fitting (LCF) method. The X-ray absorption spectra were recorded at the ASTRA beamline of the National Synchrotron Radiation Center in Krakow, Poland (SO-LARIS). The spectrum of the Cu metal foil was accurately recorded before each XAS measurement for calibration purposes. Finally, the spectra were imported into the XAS computer software "Athena" for normalization and extraction of the data.<sup>[87]</sup>

The LCF method was evaluated by mathematically fitting the X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) of the resulting composite particles with the same spectra measured for Cu, CuO, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O as reference. In this method, which used the additive nature of the absorption of each species, the proportions of the reference spectra were added to reconstruct the spectrum of choice. However, it could be applied to XANES, XANES derivative, or

DVANCED

SCIENCE NEWS



FUNCTION

EXAFS spectra; here the authors used it for the entire XAS range measured. The total absorption coefficient could be written as the sum of the chemical forms or species as  $Sample = \sum f_i(STD_i)$ . where "Sample" stands for the least square fit to the energy range of the sample spectrum and  $f_i$  stands for the scaling factors applied to each corresponding standard spectrum (STD<sub>i</sub>). The scaling factor determined from the LCF indicated the proportion of the individual phases in the analyzed sample. The subroutine of LCF was created with the software Athena (XAS data analysis). Here, LCF was performed by fitting the normalized  $\mu(E)$  spectrum of the relative weights of the species could vary from 0 to 1 and the absorption edge energies were kept fixed during the analysis.

A dynamic light scattering instrument (Malvern Zetasizer Nano- ZS) was used to measure the hydrodynamic diameter of Cu and CuO nanoparticles. The instrument was equipped with a He-Ne laser operating at  $\lambda = 633$  nm and a backscatter angle of 173°.

A Hitachi S4800 and a Tescan Vega3 scanning electron microscope (SEM) were used to evaluate the morphology of PLIS-synthesized particles. The average size of particles in each sample was measured by calculating the diameters of 50 randomly selected particles using ImageJ software.

Finally, a FEI Tecnai Qsiris, 200kV transmission electron microscope (TEM) was used to produce the high-resolution images for d-spacing analysis by fast Fourier transform (FFT). Also, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns and HAADF-EDX maps were extracted to determine the chemical structure more precisely.

*Thermodynamic Calculations*: The calculations of the thermodynamic equilibrium in the Cu-O-C-H system were carried out with the HSC Chemistry package (ver. 10.×, https://www.hsc-chemistry.com/). This software was also used for accurate calculation of Cp and enthalpy at different temperatures. The reaction pathways of heated Cu and CuO were also extracted from the Gibbs free energy and enthalpy diagrams from HSC Chemistry.

*Temperature Calculations*: There was a relationship between the laser fluence, the absorption cross-section, the particle weight, and the enthalpy of the particles as follows.

$$J\sigma_{abs}^{\lambda}\left(d\right) = m_{p}\Delta H \tag{1}$$

where J (J.cm<sup>-2</sup>pulse<sup>-1</sup>) is the laser fluence,  $\sigma_{|A|}$  (cm<sup>2</sup>) is the absorption cross-section of particles,  $m_p$  (g) is the particle mass, and  $\Delta H$  (J g<sup>-1</sup>) is the enthalpy of the particles. The absorbed energy increased the temperature of the particle undergoing thermodynamic phase transitions. Note that in Equation (1), only the main thermal effects were considered, while thermal expansion and possible mechanical effects such as the formation and propagation of pressure waves and possible mechanical fragmentation were neglected here. These simplifications were applied to sufficiently small nanoparticles. These issues would be addressed elsewhere.

To calculate the enthalpy of Cu and CuO spheres, the reaction paths had to be known. When copper was heated, there were two phase transitions, melting and boiling, so the enthalpy changed as follows.

$$\Delta H = \int_{T_0}^{T_m} C_P^s(T) dT + \Delta H_m + \int_{T_m}^{T_b} C_P^l(T) dT + \Delta H_b$$
(2)

where  $C_p^s(T)$  and  $C_p^l(T)$  (J  $g^{-1}K^{-1}$ ) are the heat capacities of solid and liquid Cu. Similarly,  $\Delta H_m$  and  $\Delta H_b$  (J  $g^{-1}$ ) are the latent heat of melting and boiling of Cu, which take place at  $T_m$  and  $T_b$  (°K), respectively. Finally,  $T_0$ (K) is the ambient temperature which was set to 298 K in all calculations. For CuO (copper oxide (II)), for which the reaction pathway is shown in Table S2, Supporting Information, the enthalpy changed as follows.

$$\Delta H = \int_{T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}}^{T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}} C_P^{CuO(s)} (T) dT + \Delta H_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}$$
$$+ \int_{T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}}^{T_m} C_P^{Cu_2O(s)} (T) dT + \Delta H_m$$
$$+ \int_{T_{Cu_2O(l) \to Cu(g)}}^{T_{Cu_2O(l) \to Cu(g)}} C_P^{Cu_2O(l)} (T) dT + \Delta H_{Cu_2O(l) \to Cu(g)}$$
(3)

J T<sub>m</sub>

where  $C_p^{CuO(s)}$ ,  $C_p^{Cu_2O(s)}$ , and  $C_p^{Cu_2O(l)}$  (J  $g^{-1}K^{-1}$ ) are the heat capacities of solid CuO, solid Cu<sub>2</sub>O and molten Cu<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. Moreover,  $\Delta H_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}$ ,  $\Delta H_m$ , and  $\Delta H_{Cu_2O(l) \to Cu(g)}$  (J  $g^{-1}$ ) are the latent heat of CuO(s)-Cu<sub>2</sub>O(s) transition, Cu<sub>2</sub>O fusion, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O(l)-Cu(g) transition occurring at  $T_{CuO(s) \to Cu_2O(s)}$ ,  $T_m$ , and  $T_{Cu_2O(l) \to Cu(g)}$  (K) temperatures, respectively. Figure S2, Supporting Information shows the changing trends of C<sub>p</sub> and H for both phases. It also showed the transition temperatures used to design the reaction pathways according to the Gibbs free energy diagrams. The laser fluence was calculated as follows.

$$J = E_0 / A_L \tag{4}$$

where  $E_0$  (J pulse<sup>-1</sup>) is the pulse energy and  $A_L$  (cm<sup>-2</sup>) is the cross-section of the laser beam. Figure S4, Supporting Information shows the pulse shape and cumulative pulse intensity used in this study. The Gaussian distribution of the pulse shape would be responsible for the heating. The change in the intensity of the laser pulse due to the propagation direction of the laser affected the heat balance, which would be explained in more detail using the heating–cooling model. The absorption cross-section of particles, which was responsible for the absorption of electromagnetic radiation, was calculated by the following equation.

$$\sigma_{abs}^{\lambda}\left(d\right) = \frac{\pi d^2 Q_{abs}^{\lambda}\left(d\right)}{4} \tag{5}$$

where *d* is the particle diameter and  $Q_{|^2|(d)}$  is the absorption efficiency of the particles calculated using scattering techniques. The absorption efficiencies of Cu and CuO particles were calculated as a function of particle diameter using MiePlot software (ver. 4620, http://www.philiplaven.com/mieplot.htm), as shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information. The optical parameters used in the MiePlot software are listed in Table S3, Supporting Information.

**Figure 7.** a) Cyclic voltammetry of Cu50/C, Cu100/C, Cu200/C, CuO100/C, CuO200/C, and CuO400/C electrocatalysts in 0.1 M NaOH, with a sweep rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; b) EOR forward scan of the Cu50/C, Cu100/C, Cu200/C, CuO100/C, CuO200/C, and CuO400/C catalysts. All curves were recorded in 0.1 M NaOH + 0.5 M C2H5OH solution at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature. Differences in the absorbance of peaks originating from CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, and CH<sub>3</sub>COOH species were observed during 12 h of EOR for c) Cu200/C and d) Cu100/C catalysts. The dynamics of ethanol oxidation mostly show the dominant mechanism of complete oxidation leading to enormous CO<sub>2</sub> production. The optimum catalyst, that is, Cu100/C, retains its oxidation potential for 12 h, which is not the case at all with Cu200/C. After 12 h, Cu100/C can still be used as a catalyst for the complete oxidation of ethanol, while Cu200/C is a better choice for partial oxidation. e) Current–time curves recorded at 0.5 versus Ag/AgCl for 3600 s in 0.1 M NaOH + 0.5 M ethanol solution. Cyclic voltammogram curves of the f) Cu100/C and g) Cu200/C catalysts before and after 10 000 potential cycles. The graphs show the decrease of ECSA (%) for h) Cu100/C and i) Cu200/C.

The weight of the spheres is calculated as follows.

$$m_p = \frac{\rho_p \left(\pi d_p^3\right)}{6} \tag{6}$$

where  $\rho_p$  (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) is the particle density.

*Temperature Profile Calculations*: A model that takes into account the heating of the particles due to the absorption of the laser beam and the simultaneous cooling due to the heat transfer with the surrounding media is defined. In this model, only conductive heat transfer was considered, since convection around the particles was negligible in the submicrometer range. Conductive heat transfer was expressed as follows.

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = h \cdot \pi d^2 \left( T_t - T_\infty \right) \tag{7}$$

where  $\pi d^2$  is the particle surface area, T(t) is the particle temperature,  $T_{\infty}$  is the temperature of the surrounding liquid (298 K), and h (Wm<sup>-2</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) is the heat transfer coefficient defined by Equation (8) as follows.

$$h = \frac{Nu_d \cdot K}{d} \tag{8}$$

where K is the thermal conductivity of the surrounding fluid,  $Nu_d$  is the Nusselt number, and d is the particle diameter. The Nusselt number was the ratio of convective heat transfer to conductive heat transfer. In the case of natural convection on a sphere, the Nusselt number was given by Equation (9) as follows.

$$Nu_d = 2 + \frac{0.589Ra_d^{0.25}}{\left[1 + (0469/Pr)^{0.56}\right]^{0.44}}$$
(9)

where  $Ra_d$  is the Rayleigh number and Pr is the Prandtl number. For submicron particles, the magnitude of the Nusselt number was equal to 2 because the Rayleigh number was negligible in the submicron range. The values of the heat transfer coefficient for ethanol are given in Table S2, Supporting Information.

According to the heating-cooling model, the accumulated heat energy in a particle was the difference between the laser energy absorbed by a particle and the energy dissipated by conductive heat transfer.

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dE_{abs}}{dt} - \frac{dq}{dt}$$
(10)

The amount of absorbed energy as a function of pulse duration was given as follows.

$$\frac{dE_{abs}}{dt} = \frac{Q_{abs}^{\lambda}\left(d\right) \cdot \pi d^{2}J\left(t\right)}{4} \tag{11}$$

Matlab software (ver. R2022a, https://www.mathworks.com/products/ new\_products/latest\_features. html) was utilized for the numerical solution of heating-cooling differential equation using Rung-Kutta 4<sup>th</sup> order derivation (Equation 10).

*Gas Chromatography (GC)*: The GC analyses were performed using an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph. The levels of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and oxygen in each sample were measured using a micro TCD detector, Porapak Q, and Molsieve 5A packed columns connected in heart-cut mode. Methane, ethane, and ethylene were separated using a micropacked GG alumina column and an FID detector. For high readings of certain gas concentrations (> 100 ppm), the GC was calibrated with the gas mixtures produced by Multax, and the accuracy of the concentrations was more than 2% for all gasses. Low concentrations of gasses were referred to the accepted WMO scale with the set of secondary standards. Uncertainties of GC analyses (single SD), including sampling, sample transfer,

#### FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS www.afm-journal.de

and injection repeatability, were as follows:  $CO_2$ : 0.5ppm, CO: 0.2ppm,  $O_2$ : 0.1%,  $CH_4$ : 0.1ppm,  $C_2H_4$ : 0.1ppm, and  $C_2H_4$  (or EtO): 0.1ppm.

Reactive Bond Force Field Molecular Dynamics: A reactive bond force field (RBFF) with Cu/C/H/O parameters<sup>[88]</sup> was used when using the ReaxFF module of the AMS software package<sup>[89]</sup> for molecular dynamics simulations (MD). The mentioned RBFF was developed using previously developed potentials for copper and CHO compounds.<sup>[90-94]</sup> Since the system studied contained exactly copper, carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen, and no other element was involved, the RBFF could be used as a tested interatomic potential in the system. 3D systems (Table S11, Supporting Information) with rectangular simulation boxes were constructed with the periodic boundary condition in all directions. The size of the cubic simulation boxes was adjusted accordingly to keep the density the same for all systems. The canonical ensemble (NVT) was used for all MD simulations in conjunction with the Nosé-Hoover thermostat with a damping constant of 100 fs. Prior to the RBFF simulations, energy minimization was performed using the conjugate gradient algorithm to optimize the initial geometric configuration. Then the systems were NVT-equilibrated at 298 K for 5 ps. After reaching equilibrium, a series of RBFF-MD simulations was performed for each system (Table S11, Supporting Information). In RBFF-MD simulations, the use of high temperatures to accelerate reactions was a common strategy to overcome limited computing power.[95-97] More importantly, the present study was a comparative study of different cases, so the absolute temperature used was less important. A time step of 0.25 fs was used, which had been shown to be suitable for high-temperature simulations with  $\mathsf{ReaxFF}\ \mathsf{MD}.^{[95]}$  The dynamic trajectory and binding data were recorded every 100 frames (25 fs). For the analysis of species, a cut-off value of 0.3 was chosen for the bond in order to detect the molecules that formed during the simulation. A low cut-off value was helpful to cover all reactions even those with very short-lived species. Three parallel simulations were run for each simulation box and the average of these simulations was used for further analysis. The reaction pathways (timeline of new species) were analyzed using the Chemical Trajectory Analyzer (ChemTraYzer) scripts.<sup>[98]</sup> The simulation results were visualized using AMS and visual molecular dynamics (VMD) programs<sup>[99]</sup>

*Growth Model*: The details of the particle growth model are explained in Part S1g, Supporting Information. Equations (S18), (S21), and (S25), Supporting Information are used to calculate Figure 4i, Table S16, Supporting Information, and Figure 4j, respectively.

Ab-Initio Calculations: Quantum Espresso (QE) software was used to calculate the electronic structure and energy minimization of Cu(111) slab-ethanol, CuO(111) slab-ethanol, and Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> unit cell models.<sup>[100–102]</sup> DFT calculations began with atomic modeling. Then, the models were relaxed by minimizing the energy of the system. The calculation of the self-consistent field (SCF) was the last step before the post-processing calculations. Post-processing calculations included but were not limited to the band gap (BG), the partial density of states, and adsorption energy. The adsorption energy ( $E_{ads}$ ) was calculated as follows.

$$E_{ads} = E_{slab-molecule} - (E_{slab} + E_{molecule})$$
(12)

where  $E_{\text{slab-molecule}}$  is the total energy of Cu(111) and CuO(111) surface after ethanol adsorption,  $E_{\text{slab}}$  is the total energy of the Cu(111) and CuO(001) surface, and  $E_{\text{molecule}}$  is the total energy of the isolated ethanol molecule.

A vacuum layer (20 Å) was also added to each slab-containing model to avoid the effect of periodicity of the structure in heterojunction. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) of the generalized gradient approximation with the Hubbard on-site potential (GGA+U) approximation was utilized as exchange and interaction potentials. The van der Waals (vdW) correction was adopted to describe long-range vdW interactions and the dipole–dipole interaction was employed in the case of an asymmetric arrangement of species. The cut-off energy of the electronic wavefunction was 600 eV, and the k-space integration is performed according to the Monkhorst–Park scheme fitted to the reciprocal space size of the crystal structure of the models. The geometry optimization of the model was terminated when the force on each

www.afm-journal.de

ion was not stronger than 0.05 eV. The pseudopotentials Cu.rel-pbesoldn-kjpaw\_psl.0.2.UPF, O.rel-pbesol-n-kjpaw\_psl.0.1.UPF, C.rel-pbesol-nkjpaw\_psl.0.1.UPF, H.rel-pbesol-kjpaw\_psl.0.1.UPF were used from http: //www.quantum-espresso.org.

In addition, the jfeff10 software (https://times-software.github.io/ feff10/) was used to calculate the XAFS and XANES spectra of the probable crystallized phases in this system including Cu, CuO, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuCO<sub>3</sub>, and Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The unit cells of the above phases were relaxed using QE and the final atomic positions were used in jfeff10 for XAS calculations.

Catalyst Preparation: For the electrochemical measurements, the powders obtained from the PLIS process were placed in the functionalized Vulcan XC -72R and the functionalization of the carbon support was carried out as follows. The carbon powder (20 mg) was immersed in a 0.7 M aqueous solution of HNO<sub>3</sub> for 30 min at room temperature. The Vulcan XC-72R was rinsed with distilled water to a neutral pH and then dried at 100 °C for 10 h. Prior to the addition, the carbon support was heated to 100 °C for 10 h. Prior to the addition, the carbon support was heated to 100 °C for 1 h under a pure nitrogen atmosphere and oxidized to form surface functional groups. After carbon functionalization, the washed synthesized powders were dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min and finally applied to Vulcan XC -72R, which was added dropwise with vigorous stirring. In the last step, the mixture was mixed over a night under magnetic stirring and the obtained black powder was washed with ethanol and distilled water. Then, it was dried at 80 °C for 10 h.

Electrochemical Measurements: A standard three-electrode electrochemical cell equipped with a potentiostat (BIO-LOGIC SP -200) was used to measure the electrochemical behavior of catalysts. First, 2 mg of catalyst powder was ultrasonically mixed with 400 µL of isopropyl alcohol, 10  $\mu L$  of 5 wt% Nafion, and 100  $\mu L$  of ultrapure water for 30 min to produce a uniform catalyst ink. Then, a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was polished and 10 µL of the catalyst ink was applied to it. The preparation of a homogeneous working electrode was naturally completed by air-drying the solvent. Cyclic voltammetry measurements (CV) were performed in an ethanol-containing solution to determine the EOR activity of the catalysts. Pt as counter electrode and silver chloride as reference electrode were used in a standard glass cell. Before measurements, the electrolyte of 0.1 M NaOH and 0.5 M  $C_2H_5OH$  was deoxygenated for 30 min by Ar flushing. To show the durability of the studied catalysts, a chronoamperometric test in 0.1 M NaOH and 0.5 M  $C_2H_5OH$ at 0.5 V potential was also performed. In addition, accelerated stability tests of the synthesized catalysts were performed by consecutive sweeps from -1 to 1.5 V at 100 mV  $s^{-1}$  for 1000 potential cycles in 0.1 M NaOH solution. All numerical potentials reported in this study were measured with respect to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) at room temperature.

Infrared Reflection Absorption Spectroscopy (IRRAS) Measurements: Spectroelectrochemical ATR-FTIR in situ measurements were performed using a NicoletiS50 FT-IR spectrometer equipped with an MCT detector cooled with liquid N2, an ATR accessory with ZnSe crystal, and an electrochemical cell. The working electrodes are the same as those used in electrochemical experiments. Spectra were calculated from 64 scans with a spectral resolution of 2  $cm^{-1}$ , in the IR range between 1200 and 3000  $\mbox{cm}^{-1}.$  Measurements were performed for 12 h, and the spectrometer was rinsed with Ar 1 h before the experiment. In addition, argon gas was used permanently during these 12 h. The kinetics curves of the peaks were determined every 30 min. The curves were indexed for peaks corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, and CH<sub>3</sub>COOH to show the dynamics of the EOR products. To show the selectivity of the catalysts in the reduction of ethanol to carbonate and acetate, the spectra were expressed in absorption units defined as  $A = -\log(R/R0)$ , where R and R0 represent the reflected IR intensities corresponding to the sample and reference single-beam spectra, respectively. The reference spectrum was recorded at 0.05 V in the same solution containing 0.5 M ethanol and 0.1 M NaOH

Statistical Analysis: All experimental results were analyzed using Excel software. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. In addition, statistical analysis for the formation of new species was performed using the Chemical Trajectory Analyzer (ChemTraYzer) script of the AMS software.

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

# Acknowledgements

This work is mostly supported by the Polish National Science Centre Program No. 2018/31/B/ST8/03043. It is also supported partially by the Polish National Science Centre Program No. 2022/06/X/ST3/01743. The computational works were done in cooperation with Prometheus Cluster, Cyfronet, AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow using Grant No. PLG/2022/015573. The X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements were performed at the SOLARIS synchrotron center in Krakow, Poland, under experiment number 221926. The authors would like to thank Prof. Marta Marszalek, Dr. Michal Kuprinski, and Dr. Arkadiusz Zarzycki for their support during the whole project. The authors also are thankful to Dr. Hossein Maleki-Ghaleh, Dr. Ehsan Moradpour Tari, and Prof. Jakub Szlachetko, the Acting Director of the SOLARIS center, due to their consultation for the improvement of the scientific relevancy of the current paper. Finally, a special thanks to Prof. Zbigniew Lodziana for accepting to pre-review the paper before submission.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# **Data Availability Statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

# Keywords

ab-initio calculations, heterostructures, laser-suspension interactions, phase formation, reactive bond molecular dynamics

Received: April 20, 2023 Revised: July 2, 2023 Published online: August 31, 2023

- H. K. Malik, Laser-matter interaction for radiation and energy, CRC Press, Boca Raton 2021.
- [2] P. Mulser, D. Bauer, *High Power Laser-Matter Interaction*, Springer, Berlin **2010**.
- [3] E. Mottay, X. Liu, H. Zhang, E. Mazur, R. Sanatinia, W. Pfleging, MRS Bull. 2016, 41, 984.
- [4] E. G. Gamaly, S. Juodkazis, K. Nishimura, H. Misawa, B. Luther-Davies, L. Hallo, P. Nicolai, V. T. Tikhonchuk, *Phys. Rev. B* 2006, *73*, 214101.
- [5] T. E. Cowan, M. Roth, J. Johnson, C. Brown, M. Christl, W. Fountain, S. Hatchett, E. A. Henry, A. W. Hunt, M. H. Key, A. MacKinnon, T. Parnell, D. M. Pennington, M. D. Perry, T. W. Phillips, T. C. Sangster, M. Singh, R. Snavely, M. Stoyer, Y. Takahashi, S. C. Wilks, K. Yasuike, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.* **2000**, *455*, 130.
- [6] E. G. Gamaly, Femtosecond Laser-Matter Interaction: Theory, Experiments and Applications, Jenny Stanford Publishing, New York 2011.
- [7] H. Lee, C. H. J. Lim, M. J. Low, N. Tham, V. M. Murukeshan, Y.-J. Kim, Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.-Green Technol. 2017, 4, 307.

www.advancedsciencenews.com

- [8] S.-H. Um, S.-W. Hwang, C. P. Grigoropoulos, H. Jeon, S. H. Ko, Appl. Phys. Rev. 2022, 9, 041302.
- [9] A. Thompson, Scilight 2022, 2022, 421106.
- [10] M. S. Brown, C. B. Arnold, in *Laser Precision Microfabrication*, Vol. 135 (Eds: K. Sugioka, M. Meunier, A. Piqué), Springer, Heidelberg 2010,.
- [11] D. J. Joe, S. Kim, J. H. Park, D. Y. Park, H. E. Lee, T. H. Im, I. Choi, R. S. Ruoff, K. J. Lee, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29*, 1606586.
- [12] R. Zhou, F. Li, M. Hong, Sci. Sin. Phys. Mech. Astron. 2017, 47, 024201.
- [13] Y. Ishikawa, T. Tsuji, S. Sakaki, N. Koshizaki, Prog. Mater. Sci. 2023, 131, 101004.
- [14] Y. Ishikawa, N. Koshizaki, N. S. A. Pyatenko, N. Yoshizawa, Y. Shimizu, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 2439.
- [15] Y. Ishikawa, N. Koshizaki, Sh. Sakaki, J. Phys. Chem. C 2019, 123, 24934.
- [16] A. Pyatenko, H. Wang, N. Koshizaki, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 4495.
- [17] Y. Ishikawa, Y. Shimizu, T. Sasaki, N. Koshizaki, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 91, 161110.
- [18] H. Wang, N. Koshizaki, L. Li, L. Jia, K. Kawaguchi, X. Li, A. Pyatenko, Z. Swiatkowska-Warkocka, Y. Bando, D. Golberg, *Adv. Mater.* 2011, 23, 1865.
- [19] H. Wang, K. Kawaguchi, A. Pyatenko, X. Li, Z. Swiatkowska-Warkocka, Y. Katou, N. Koshizaki, *Chem. – Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 163.
- [20] M. S. Shakeri, O. Polit, B. Grabowska-Polanowska, A. Pyatenko, K. Suchanek, M. Dulski, J. Gurgul, Z. Swiatkowska-Warkocka, *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 1.
- [21] Y. Ishikawa, Y. Shimizu, T. Sasaki, N. Koshizaki, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 91, 161110.
- [22] H. Wang, M. Miyauchi, Y. Ishikawa, A. Pyatenko, N. Koshizaki, Y. Li, L. Li, X. Li, Y. Bando, D. Golberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19102.
- [23] Sh. Sakaki, H. Ikenoue, T. Tsuji, Y. Ishikawa, N. Koshizaki, ChemPhysChem 2017, 18, 1101.
- [24] M. A. F. Akhairi, S. K. Kamarudin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2016, 41, 4214.
- [25] J. Mann, N. Yao, A. B. Bocarsly, Langmuir 2006, 22, 10432.
- [26] F. Vigier, C. Coutanceau, A. Perrard, E. M. Belgsir, C. Lamy, J. Appl. Electrochem. 2004, 34, 439.
- [27] E. Antolini, J. Power Sources 2007, 170, 1.
- [28] A. V. Munde, B. B. Mulik, P. P. Chavan, V. S. Sapner, S. S. Narwade, S. M. Mali, B. R. Sathe, J. Phys. Chem. C 2021, 125, 2345.
- [29] Y. Zheng, X. Wan, X. Cheng, K. Cheng, Z. Dai, Z. Liu, Catalysts 2020, 10, 166.
- [30] J. Cai, Y. Zeng, Y. Guo, J. Power Sources 2014, 270, 257.
- [31] Q. Dong, Y. Zhao, X. Han, Y. Wang, M. Liu, Y. Li, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 14669.
- [32] H. Rostami, A. A. Rostami, A. Omrani, *Electrochim. Acta* 2016, 194, 431.
- [33] A. E. Attar, L. Oularbi, S. Chemchoub, M. E. Rhazi, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2020, 45, 8887.
- [34] J. Biemolt, D. van Noordenne, J. Liu, E. Antonetti, M. Leconte, S. van Vliet, R. Bliem, G. Rothenberg, X.-Z. Fu, N. Yan, ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 10176.
- [35] J. Xue, G. Han, W. Ye, Y. Sang, H. Li, P. Guo, X. S. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 34497.
- [36] D. Liu, H.-Z. Huang, Z. Zhu, J. Li, L.-W. Chen, X.-T. Jing, A.-X. Yin, Catalysts 2022, 12, 1562.
- [37] J. Zhang, J. Liu, Q. Peng, X. Wang, Y. Li, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 867.
- [38] B. C. Ranu, R. Dey, T. Chatterjee, S. Ahammed, *ChemSusChem* 2012, 5, 22.
- [39] S. E. Allen, R. R. Walvoord, R. Padilla-Salinas, M. C. Kozlowski, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 6234.

- [40] O. Amadine, H. Maati, K. Abdelouhadi, A. Fihri, S. El Kazzouli, C. Len, A. El Bouari, A. Solhy, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2014, 395, 409.
- [41] K. Pan, H. Ming, H. Yu, Y. Liu, Z. Kang, H. Zhang, S.-T. Lee, Cryst. Res. Technol. 2011, 46, 1167.
- [42] Z.-Y. Shih, A. P. Periasamy, P.-C. Hsu, H.-T. Chang, Appl. Catal., B 2013, 132, 363.
- [43] R. Kaur, B. Pal, Appl. Catal., A 2015, 491, 28.
- [44] R. C. Pawar, D.-H. Choi, J.-S. Lee, C. S. Lee, Mater. Chem. Phys. 2015, 151, 167.
- [45] G. Yin, M. Nishikawa, Y. Nosaka, N. Srinivasan, D. Atarashi, E. Sakai, M. Miyauchi, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 2111.
- [46] Y. Zhu, X. Kong, X. Li, G. Ding, Y. Zhu, Y.-W. Li, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3612.
- [47] R. V. Goncalves, R. Wojcieszak, H. Wender, C. S. B. Dias, L. L. R. Vono, D. Eberhardt, S. R. Teixeira, L. M. Rossi, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 7987.
- [48] M. J. Albaladejo, F. Alonso, M. J. Gonzalez-Soria, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3446.
- [49] R. Poreddy, C. Engelbrekt, A. Riisager, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2015, 5, 2467.
- [50] Y. Ahn, Y. Jeong, D. Lee, Y. Lee, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 3125.
- [51] S. Bhanushali, P. Ghosh, A. Ganesh, W. Cheng, Small 2015, 11, 1232.
- [52] G. A. Somorjai, J. Y. Park, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9212.
- [53] M. A. Ben Aissa, B. Tremblay, A. Andrieux-Ledier, E. Maisonhaute, N. Raouafi, A. Courty, *Nanoscale* 2015, 7, 3189.
- [54] D. Tuschel, Spectroscopy 2014, 29, 14.
- [55] Y. Deng, A. D. Handoko, Y. Du, S. Xi, B. S. Yeo, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2473.
- [56] Y. Shen, M. Guo, X. Xia, G. Shao, Acta Mater. 2015, 85, 122.
- [57] F. Severino, J. L. Brito, J. Laine, J. L. G. Fierro, A. López Agudo, J. Catal. 1998, 177, 82.
- [58] I. Platzman, R. Brener, H. Haick, R. Tannenbaum, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 1101.
- [59] Y. V. Larichev, B. L. Moroz, V. I. Bukhtiyarov, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2011, 258, 1541.
- [60] K. Grzelak, M. Trejda, J. Gurgul, Materials 2022, 15, 4877.
- [61] M. C. Biesinger, Surf. Interface Anal. 2017, 49, 1325.
- [62] A. Białas, K. Rugała, C. Czosnek, G. Mordarski, J. Gurgul, *Catalysts* 2020, 10, 1388.
- [63] J. Ghijsen, L.-H. Tjeng, J. van Elp, H. Eskes, J. Westerink, G. A. Sawatzky, M. T. Czyzyk, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *38*, 11322.
- [64] NIST, XPS., Database https://srdata.nist.gov/xps/ (accessed: April 2020).
- [65] M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, A. P. Grosvenor, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson, R. St.C. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2010, 257, 887.
- [66] C.-K. Wu, M. Yin, S. O'Brien, J. T. Koberstein, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 6054.
- [67] C. C. Chusuei, M. A. Brookshier, D. W. Goodman, Langmuir 1999, 15, 2806.
- [68] J. Timoshenko, D. Lu, Y. Lin, A. I. Frenkel, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 5091.
- [69] D. Cabaret, A. Bordage, A. Juhin, M. Arfaoui, E. Gaudry, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12*, 5619.
- [70] R. Yang, D. J. Morris, T. Higaki, M. J. Ward, R. Jin, P. Zhang, ACS Omega 2018, 3, 14981.
- [71] H. Huang, Y. Xu, G. Luo, Z. Xie, W. Ming, Nanomaterials 2022, 12, 1524.
- [72] M. Feng, X. Z. Jiang, W. Zeng, K. H. Luo, P. Hellier, Fuel 2019, 235, 515.
- [73] J. Wang, G.-C. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C 2018, 122, 17338.
- [74] M. Kański, S. Hrabar, A. C. T. van Duin, Z. Postawa, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2022, 13, 628.
- [75] T. Cheng, H. Xiao, W. A. Goddard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11642.

FUNCTIONAL

www.advancedsciencenews.com

- [76] P. Ferrin, D. Simonetti, S. Kandoi, E. Kunkes, J. A. Dumesic, J. K. Nørskov, M. Mavrikakis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5809.
- [77] M. K. Debe, Nature 2012, 486, 43.
- [78] S. Duran, M. Elmaalouf, M. Odziomek, J.-Y. Piquemal, M. Faustini, M. Giraud, J. Peron, C. Tard, *Chem ElectroChem* **2021**, *8*, 3519.
- [79] M. Dupont, A. F. Hollenkamp, S. W. Donne, *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, 104, 140.
- [80] X. Wang, J. C. Hanson, A. I. Frenkel, J.-Y. Kim, J. A. Rodriguez, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 13667.
- [81] A. Kowal, M. Li, M. Shao, K. Sasaki, M. B. Vukmirovic, J. Zhang, N. S. Marinkovic, P. Liu, A. I. Frenkel, R. R. Adzic, *Nat. Mater.* 2009, *8*, 325.
- [82] S. R. Khan, S. Kanwal, M. Hashaam, S. Jamil, B. Ullah, M. R. S. A. Janjua, *Mater. Res. Express* 2020, 7, 025036.
- [83] X. Wang, J. C. Hanson, A. I. Frenkel, J.-Y. Kim, J. A. Rodriguez, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 13667.
- [84] D. B. Rorabacher, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 651.
- [85] A. Prajapati, B. A. Collins, J. D. Goodpaster, M. R. Singh, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2021, 118, 2023233118.
- [86] S. Najafishirtari, K. F. Ortega, M. Douthwaite, S. Pattisson, G. J. Hutchings, C. J. Bondue, K. Tschulik, D. Waffel, B. Peng, M. Deitermann, G. W. Busser, M. Muhler, M. Behrens, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2021**, *27*, 16809.
- [87] B. Ravel, M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2005, 12, 537.
- [88] W. Zhu, H. Gong, Y. Han, M. Zhang, A. C. T. Van Duin, J. Phys. Chem. C 2020, 124, 12512.
- [89] R. Rüger, M. Franchini, T. Trnka, A. Yakovlev, E. van Lenthe, P. Philipsen, T. van Vuren, B. Klumpers, T. Soini, AMS 2022.1, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, 2022, http:// www.scm.com.
- [90] C. Ashraf, A. C. T. V. Duin, J. Phys. Chem. A 2017, 121, 1051.
- [91] A. C. T. Van Duin, S. Dasgupta, F. Lorant, W. A. Goddard, J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 9396.
- [92] K. D. Nielson, A. C. T. Van Duin, J. Oxgaard, W.-Q. Deng, W. A. Goddard, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 493.

[93] A. C. T. Van Duin, V. S. Bryantsev, M. S. Diallo, W. A. Goddard, O. Rahaman, D. J. Doren, D. Raymand, K. Hermansson, J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114, 9507.

- [94] T. P. Senftle, S. Hong, M. M. Islam, S. B. Kylasa, Y. Zheng, Y. K. Shin, C. Junkermeier, R. Engel-Herbert, M. J. Janik, H. M. Aktulga, T. Verstraelen, A. Grama, A. C. T. van Duin, *npj Comput. Mater.* 2016, 2, 15011.
- [95] K. Chenoweth, A. C. T. Van Duin, W. A. Goddard, J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 1040.
- [96] M. Feng, X. Z. Jiang, K. H. Luo, Proc. Combust. Inst. 2019, 37, 5473.
- [97] Q. Mao, A. C. T. Van Duin, K. H. Luo, *Carbon* **2017**, *121*, 380.
- [98] M. Döntgen, M.-D. Przybylski-Freund, L. C. Kröger, W. A. Kopp, A. E. Ismail, K. Leonhard, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2015, 11, 2517.
- [99] W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, J. Mol. Graph 1996, 14, 33.
- [100] P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, M. Cococcioni, N. Colonna, I. Carnimeo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, P. Delugas, R. A. DiStasio Jr., A. Ferretti, A. Floris, G. Fratesi, G. Fugallo, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, F. Giustino, T. Gorni, J. Jia, M. Kawamura, H.-Y. Ko, A. Kokalj, E. Küçükbenli, M. Lazzeri, et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2017, 29, 465901.
- P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. Dal Corso, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, S. de Gironcoli, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, et al., *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2009, *21*, 395502.
- [102] P. Giannozzi, O. Baseggio, P. Bonfà, D. Brunato, R. Car, I. Carnimeo, C. Cavazzoni, S. de Gironcoli, P. Delugas, F. Ferrari Ruffino, A. Ferretti, N. Marzari, I. Timrov, A. Urru, S. Baroni, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2020, 152, 154105.