

A Perspective on the Glass Transition and the Dynamics of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers and Complexes

Hongwei Li, Suvesh Manoj Lalwani, Chikaodinaka I. Eneh, Tamunoemi Braide, Piotr Batys, Maria Sammalkorpi, and Jodie L. Lutkenhaus*



Cite This: *Langmuir* 2023, 39, 14823–14839



Read Online


ACCESS |

Metrics & More

Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs) or polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs), formed by layer-by-layer assembly or the mixing of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (PEs) in aqueous solution, respectively, have potential applications in health, energy, and the environment. PEMs and PECs are very tunable because their structure and properties are influenced by factors such as pH, ionic strength, salt type, humidity, and temperature. Therefore, it is increasingly important to understand how these factors affect PECs and PEMs on a molecular level. In this Feature Article, we summarize our contributions to the field in the development of approaches to quantify the swelling, thermal properties, and dynamic mechanical properties of PEMs and PECs. First, the role of water as a plasticizer and in the glass-transition temperature (T_g) in both strong poly(diallyldimethylammonium)/poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PDADMA/PSS) and weak poly(allylamine hydrochloride)/poly(acrylic acid) (PAH/PAA) systems is presented. Then, factors influencing the dynamics of PECs and PEMs are discussed. We also reflect on the swelling of PEMs in response to different salts and solvent additives. Last, the nature of water's microenvironment in PEMs/PECs is discussed. A special emphasis is placed on experimental techniques, along with molecular simulations. Taken together, this review presents an outlook and offers recommendations for future research directions, such as studying the additional effects of hydrogen-bonding hydrophobic interactions.



INTRODUCTION

Polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs) or polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) are formed by the spontaneous association of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (PEs) in aqueous solution, which can be influenced by noncovalent interactions.^{1,2} Wide interest exists due to their remarkable potential as advanced functional materials with applications in drug delivery,^{3–5} sensors,^{6–8} batteries,^{9–13} water treatment,^{14–17} and more. The physical properties of PEMs and PECs can be manipulated by varying the assembly solution pH, ionic strength, salt type, and temperature,^{18–30} which can yield a range of thermal and mechanical properties. However, only recently have we begun to understand these properties due to new advancements in theoretical and experimental approaches. Discussed herein, the past few years have brought discoveries that reveal that nature of the glass transition, the dynamic response, and the important role of water in PECs and PEMs.

In the 1960s, Alan Michaels and co-workers^{31–34} undertook several investigations to determine the interaction characteristics and properties of PECs, for which the kinetics of the association were evaluated in terms of chain conformation and salt type. Later in 2005–2006, Möhwald and Köhler et al.^{35,36} reported that elevated temperature could cause the disruption of adjacent ionic bonds inside PEM capsules, allowing for the

rearrangement of oppositely charged polymers. As a result, PEM capsules would rupture or shrink upon heating, depending on the force experienced by the capsule (electrostatic vs hydrophobic). This rearrangement was further supported with evidence of a thermal event that was termed a “melting transition” using differential scanning calorimetry measurements. Later, Imre et al.³⁷ assigned the thermal event as the glass transition for hydrated PE assemblies.

The nature of the glass transition for a PEC and PEM is a complex phenomenon that involves not only the disruption of “intrinsic” polycation–polyanion cross-links but also the large-scale macromolecular relaxation of the polymers themselves. The diffusion of polyelectrolytes has been described theoretically using models of “sticky” reptation and the “sticky” Rouse model. The sticky Rouse model was developed by Rubenstein and Semenov³⁸ to describe the dynamics of unentangled PECs, whose interaction lifetime is related to the nature of the

Received: April 11, 2023

Revised: September 11, 2023

Published: October 11, 2023






Figure 1. Modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC) thermograms of (a) dry PDADMA and (b) dry PSS homopolymers. Ramped at 3 °C/min, amplitude of 1 °C, and period of 60 s. MDSC thermograms of (c) dry (0 wt % added water) and (d) hydrated (12 wt % added water) PDADMA/PSS LbL films assembled from 1.0 M NaCl. The cooling rate is 2 °C/min, amplitude of 1.272 °C, for 60 s. All presented MDSC thermograms represent the second heating scan. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 57. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

polycation–polyanion intrinsic ion pair. The sticky reptation model captures the reptation behavior of entangled polyelectrolyte chains, corresponding to conditions at high polymer concentration³⁹ and chain length.⁴⁰ A PEC's glass-transition temperature (T_g)⁴¹ has been described by the Fox equation, but as discussed herein, more generalized relationships have resulted.

As Michaels stated in 1965,^{33,34} exposure to water and salt can soften PECs. This indicates their important role in changing the PEC's dynamics. In the early 2000s, water and salt were revisited as a swelling agent and as a plasticizer in both PECs and PEMs. Depending on the polyelectrolyte choice and the number of intrinsic ion pairs (as influenced by salt and pH), the swelling of a PEC or PEM can be tuned.⁴² For example, doping a PEC or PEM with small salt ions breaks intrinsic ion pairs and generates extrinsic ion pairs, thus decreasing the degree of complexation and increasing the PEC or PEM's ability to swell. Water and salt were leveraged by the Schlenoff group in 2012 to yield "saloplastics",^{43,44} or hydrated PECs that could be molded and extruded at temperatures above a salt-dependent thermal transition. Elsewhere, water has yielded softening and self-healing behavior in PECs and PEMs.^{45–49} This behavior has been attributed to water plasticization, which lowers the T_g .^{43,50} However, at that time, a quantified perspective of how and why water influences the swelling, the glass-transition temperature, and the dynamics of PECs and PEMs was lacking.

In this Feature Article, we summarize our contributions to the field in developing approaches to quantify the swelling, thermal properties, and dynamic mechanical properties of PEMs and PECs. In turn, these approaches have been used to reveal fundamental connections relating the PEM/PEC structure to the glass transition and dynamic relaxation. Other excellent reviews cover the structure, properties, and applications of PEMs and PECs, to which we refer the reader.^{51–56}

■ ROLE OF HYDRATION, PH, AND SALT IN THE GLASS TRANSITION OF POLYELECTROLYTE MULTILAYERS/COMPLEXES (PEMS/PECS)

One of our earliest (2012–2014) attempts to isolate the glass transition of a PEM used the quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D), in which the frequency and dissipation responses of PEMs adsorbed onto a quartz crystal sensor were monitored with temperature.^{57–60} However, we found that the technique's results were inconsistent due to bubble formation in the instrument at higher temperatures and QCM-D lacks hydration control because the film is fully immersed. Our early work also considered PEM thickness and confinement effects, nanotube morphologies, and the processing method.^{61–65} By 2015, we turned to modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC), which uses temperature modulation to enhance signals attributed to the glass transition, measured with a 2 °C/min rate in two heating/cooling cycles. Our MDSC work showed that the glass-transition temperature of a PEM or PEC




Figure 2. Concentration dependence of the thermal transition of PDADMA/PSS LbL films assembled from 0.5 M NaCl in contact with (a) CaCl₂, (b) MgCl₂, and (c) Na₂SO₄ solutions. The solid line is drawn to help guide the eye and represents a linear fit of $T_{tr} = 12.4 C_{Na_2SO_4} + 43.5$ ($R^2 = 0.95$). The error bars represent the standard deviation over at least three samples. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 68. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

decreased with increasing hydration.^{66–71} With the goal of connecting the glass-transition temperature to the physical structure of the PEM or PEC, neutron activation analysis (NAA) was also applied to determine the salt doping level and the water-to-intrinsic ion pair ratio, providing a connection of the observed thermal response to a PEM or PEC at the molecular level. Taken together, these methods allowed comparisons of glass-transition behavior between strong and weak polyelectrolyte systems, as shown in the following sections.

Glass Transition in PEMs/PECs Containing Strong Polyelectrolytes. In 2012, we compared the glass transitions of dry homopolymers to those of their dry and hydrated multilayers.⁵⁷ Strong polyelectrolytes poly(diallyldimethylammonium) (PDADMA) and poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) were specifically examined due to their extensive study in the PEM and layer-by-layer (LbL) communities. As strong polyelectrolytes, they remain ionized over a wide range of pH; therefore, their assembly behavior is expected to be influenced by salt rather than acidic or basic environments. Figure 1a and b shows the second MDSC heating cycle for dry PDADMA and PSS homopolymers, respectively. The inflection point in the reversing heat flow curve shows that dry PDADMA exhibited a T_g at 166 °C (Figure 1a) but dry PSS did not exhibit a T_g in the temperature range investigated (Figure 1b). Then, LbL films of PDADMA and PSS were assembled at varying ionic strengths. The LbL films were then dried in ambient air and stored in a desiccator until further use. But, interestingly, none of the dry LbL films had a detectable T_g (Figure 1c). The dry film's glassy behavior was attributed to strong ion pairing between the

quaternary ammonium and sulfonate groups. In contrast to the dry LbL films, hydrated LbL films demonstrated a glass transition. Herein, the total heat flow can be separated into reversing heat flow (which contains information regarding the heat capacity and T_g) and nonreversing heat flow (which reflects enthalpy changes and kinetic events such as crystallization and decomposition). Specifically, Figure 1d shows an example of an MDSC thermogram for a hydrated (12 wt % water) PDADMA/PSS LbL film assembled from 1.0 M NaCl in which a thermal transition at 51 °C was observed in the reversing curve. This result was meaningful at the time because it clearly demonstrated the effect of water on the glass transition in multilayers.

With the prior study⁵⁷ investigating only monovalent salts, we next sought to understand whether divalent cations and anions would affect the glass transition.⁶⁸ PDADMA/PSS LbL assemblies were assembled in NaCl, isolated from the assembly substrate, and then exposed to solutions of either CaCl₂, MgCl₂, or Na₂SO₄. Figure 2 shows that the T_g (previously referred to as a thermal transition, T_{tr}) increased with increasing Na₂SO₄ concentration but remained within error for CaCl₂ or MgCl₂. We note the relatively large error in T_g for the multilayers exposed to CaCl₂ or MgCl₂ at high concentration, which could arise from how the multilayers were prepared or exposed to the solutions. As for the effects on swelling, the multilayer thickness changed linearly with CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ concentration, but there was no remarkable trend in swelling as the Na₂SO₄ concentration increased.




Figure 3. Reversing heat flow curves of modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC) for PDADMA/PSS PECs of (a) varying water content and fixed 0.5 M NaCl complexation concentration and (b) varying NaCl complexation concentration and fixed water content of 24 wt %. (c) T_g as a function of the molar ratio of water molecules to the intrinsic ion pair in hydrated PDADMA/PSS complexes prepared from solutions of different NaCl concentrations. (d) Linear fitting of $\ln(n_{H_2O}/n_{intrinsic\ ion\ pair})$ vs $1000/T_g$ (dotted lines). For (a) and (b), the MDSC second heating scans are shown with “exotherm down”, a heating rate of 2 K·min⁻¹, and an amplitude of 1.272 K for a period of 60 s. The legend in (c) also applies to (d). The left y axis applies to PDADMA/PSS, and the right y axis applies to both PDADMA/PSS and PAH/PAA. PAH/PAA data are from the authors’ previous work.⁶⁷ Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 66. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

In addition, O’Neal et al.⁶⁹ investigated the glass-transition PDADMA/PSS multilayers by comparing the counterions in the system. Specifically, PDADMA/PSS multilayers were prepared in 0.5 M NaCl solutions and then subjected to different salt solutions (NaCl–KBr mixtures and KBr) postassembly at varying hydration levels (16–33 wt %). The results demonstrated that the hydration level influenced the T_g more so than the salt concentration. However, the salt type for assembly could strongly influence the T_g , in which changing the assembly salt conditions from NaCl to KBr depressed the T_g by ~20 °C. Additionally, compositional analysis based on nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectroscopy and NAA revealed a direct relationship between the intrinsic and extrinsic ion pairing and the thermal transition of the polyelectrolyte LbL assemblies. The roles of water and salt were explained by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of PDADMA/PSS assemblies.^{70,71} In particular, water has a plasticizing effect but salt can have a dual role contributing to a decrease or increase in plasticization via influencing the water structure in the assembly and breaking intrinsic ion pairs.⁷¹

Building upon this prior work, we reported in 2018 a scaling for water, intrinsic ion pairing, and the glass transition that described both PEMs and PECs as well as PECs made from both strong and weak polyelectrolytes.⁶⁶ The glass-transition temperatures of PDADMA/PSS complexes prepared at varying salt concentration (0–1.5 M NaCl) and exposed to different hydration levels (16–32 wt %) were quantified. For a fixed complexation salt concentration of 0.5 M, the T_g decreased from 387 to 300 K as the water content increased from 16 to 32 wt % (Figure 3a). Figure 3b shows the response for PECs of varying

complexation NaCl concentrations at a fixed hydration level of 24 wt %; the T_g decreased from 345 to 325 K as the NaCl concentration increased from 0 to 1.5 M, indicative of a salt plasticization effect.

To explore water–salt–temperature relationships for the PDADMA/PSS system, the T_g values were plotted against the ratio of water molecules to intrinsic ion pairs ($\frac{n_{H_2O}}{n_{intrinsic\ ion\ pair}}$), shown in Figure 3c. The ratio can also be expressed in terms of the cation or anion doping level $y^+ = \frac{[Cl^-]}{PDADMA}$ or $y^- = \frac{[Na^+]}{PSS}$, depending on which species is a minority. Here, the molar ratio of water to intrinsic ion pairs becomes $\frac{n_{H_2O}}{n_{PSS}(1-y^-)}$ because PSS was the minority component, where n_{PSS} is the number of PSS monomers. NAA was used to estimate y^+ and y^- , and the water content n_{H_2O} was taken as the controlled amount of water added to the system. Also, $n_{intrinsic\ ion\ pair}$, which is defined as the number of intrinsic ion pairs (polycation–polyanion pairs), was estimated from a charge balance, a mole balance, and the doping level. Remarkably, the T_g values all collapsed into a single master curve for all hydration levels and salt concentrations examined. To make a link between the glass transition and water content in the PECs, the linearization of this master curve, shown in Figure 3d, yielded the following scaling:

$$\frac{1}{T_g} \approx \ln \frac{n_{H_2O}}{n_{intrinsic\ ion\ pair}} \quad (1)$$

The successful collapse and linearization of T_g values for PDADMA/PSS complexes is notably similar to that observed in

poly(allylamine hydrochloride)/poly(acrylic acid) (PAH/PAA) complexes.⁶⁷ The slope corresponding to eq 1 in Figure 3d allows for calculating an energy, presuming the relationship follows a van't Hoff-like equation. Remarkably, the van't Hoff enthalpy measured for the PDADMA/PSS complexes was nearly identical to that of PAH/PAA complexes, -10.8 vs -10.5 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, respectively. These values are close to the van't Hoff enthalpy of hydrogen bond disruption between two water molecules,⁷² which further suggests the generalized role of water in the relaxation. However, the y intercepts for the linear fits were different for the different PEC systems, which we attribute to differences in the charged group size or ion pair size (e.g., the PDADMA repeat unit is larger than the PAH repeat unit), the linear charge density, and/or the water distribution around the charged groups between these two PEC systems. Notably, the aforementioned characteristics of the system may alter entropic contributions. In addition, MD simulations for the PDADMA/PSS complex also show a relationship between T_g and the hydrogen-bonding environment, further supporting the contribution of water in weakening intrinsic ion pairing and promoting the sliding motion of polyelectrolytes.⁶⁶

The generalized scaling of T_g for PECs with water at the intrinsic ion pairs is useful for relating the molecular-level internal structure to polymer relaxation, but the question of if this behavior was similar for charged assemblies made by a different path remained. To examine this, we performed the same analysis for PDADMA/PSS PEMs assembled using the LbL technique.⁶⁶ In Figure 4, the relationship between T_g and




Figure 4. Plot of $\ln(n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/n_{\text{intrinsic ion pair}})$ vs $1000/T_g$ for PDADMA/PSS polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs, black circles) and polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs, pink circles). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 66. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

the molar ratio of water to the number of intrinsic ion pairs shows a collapse of all of the measured T_g values into a single linear master curve for both PECs and PEMs. The results from this study are remarkable as they highlight a potentially generalized phenomenon for the relaxation of macromolecular assemblies with charged ion pairs.

In parallel with experiment, we sought to investigate the molecular contributions of water to explain the PEC's behavior. Specifically, the state of water (bound vs nonbound) and its diffusion (rotational and translational) in the PEC may influence the T_g . Batys et al.⁷³ investigated the dynamics of water mobility in hydrated PDADMA/PSS complexes and its reliance on the temperature and hydration level using MD simulations. The results showed that at 26 wt % water content only very strongly bound water was present in the PECs, implying a strong PE–

water association. We have confirmed this by DSC measurements, which indicated only the presence of nonfreezing water in that hydration range.⁷³ The water diffusion coefficients that were estimated from MD simulations for PECs at high hydration levels and elevated temperatures exhibited strong contributions from translational motion, whereas rotational motion dominated water dynamics at low temperatures and hydration levels.⁷³ Increases in either PEC hydration or temperature led to increased water mobility, providing a lubrication effect. Taken together, MD simulations validated the roles of temperature and hydration in plasticization of the PEC.

Glass Transition in PEMs/PECs Containing Weak Polyelectrolytes. In contrast to the PDADMA/PSS system, which consists of strong polyelectrolytes with degrees of ionization that are independent of pH, PECs and PEMs consisting of weak polyelectrolytes have degrees of ionization that are highly sensitive to pH. To understand the influence of pH, we have studied PECs and PEMs composed of PAH and PAA, which are weak polyelectrolytes with pK_a values of 8–10 and 5.7–6.5,^{58,67,74} respectively. For example, if the pH value is below the pK_a of PAA, then PAA will favor protonation rather than ionization; conversely, for PAH, ionization would be favored. As a consequence, the pH value will influence the number of intrinsic ion pairs, extrinsic ion pairs, and protonated units and therefore the thermal properties of the PAH/PAA system.

In 2010, we investigated the thermochemical properties of dry PAH/PAA LbL assemblies.⁷⁵ Dry PAA and PAH homopolymers exhibited T_g 's of 128 and 223 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, respectively, but a dry PAH/PAA LbL film exhibited no evidence of a glass transition. Instead, cross-linking via amidation was observed. Two years later, we investigated pH effects upon the glass transition of hydrated LbL films using MDSC (Figure 5).⁵⁸ Notably, the hydrated PAH/PAA LbL films exhibited distinct T_g 's that varied with assembly pH. As shown in Figure 5a, a distinct glass transition at 55 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ was observed in the reversing curve, accompanied by enthalpic relaxation associated with physical aging observed in the nonreversing curve for a PAH/PAA LbL film assembled at pH 5.5. Figure 5b shows the reversing heat flow curves for hydrated (18 wt % water) PAH/PAA LbL films assembled from various pH-adjusted solutions. For assembly pH values of 3.5, 5.5, and 9.0, the T_g 's were 62, 55, and 57 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, respectively.

The origin of the variation of T_g with assembly pH for the PAH/PAA LbL films was not well understood at the time of ref 58, motivating a deeper investigation of analogous PAH/PAA complexes. Using MDSC, the T_g was identified for PAH/PAA complexes prepared at different pH values and examined under varying levels of hydration. Figure 6a shows that the thermal transition temperature (T_{tr} , which is equivalent to the T_g) of a PAH/PAA PEC prepared at pH 3.5 decreased with increasing hydration. This shows that the presence of water strongly affects the thermal transition. Notably, the plasticizing effect of water decreased the T_{tr} as hydration increased. Figure 6b shows that the T_{tr} (or T_g) increases with increasing complexation pH for PAH/PAA PECs of a constant hydration level (15.3 wt %). Figure 6c summarizes all of the obtained T_{tr} values for the various pH values and hydrations. Given the similar trends in T_{tr} with respect to water wt % in the system shown in Figure 6c, we desired to formulate a more universal representation of the response. This resulted in Figure 7a, in which a plot of T_{tr} versus the number of water molecules divided by the number of intrinsic ion pairs resulted in a single master curve, confirming a




Figure 5. (a) MDSC thermograms of hydrated PAH/PAA LbL films assembled from pH 5.5 solution. (b) Reversing heat flow curves for hydrated PAH/PAA LbL films assembled from pH 3.5, 5.5, and 9.0 solutions. Curves in (b) have been shifted along the y axis for clarity. Heating rate of 2°C min^{-1} , amplitude of 1.272°C , and period of 60 s (second heating cycle shown). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 58. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.




Figure 6. Reversing heat flow curves of modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC) of (a) (PAH/PAA)_{3.5} complexes of varying water content and of (b) varying complexation pH values and constant water content (15.3 wt % water). For (a) and (b), second heating scans are shown, and curves have been shifted along the y axis for clarity. (c) T_{tr} for PAH/PAA complexes for varying complexation pH values and water content. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 67. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

direct relationship among the three parameters. Furthermore, a plot of the log of the ratio of water molecules per intrinsic ion pair vs the inverse of the transition temperature showed a collapse of the data into a single, linear master curve (Figure 7b). Shown below, the linear fit was described from physical principles in eqs 2 to 11 for which T can represent T_g or T_{tr} .

The successful collapse of T_{tr} into a single master curve without any adjustable parameters is remarkable, especially considering that this had not been previously demonstrated. The generalized master curve response implies that water associated with intrinsic ion pairs plays a role in the thermal relaxation, consistent with the discussion above centering around ref 66.





Figure 7. (a) T_{tr} vs number of water molecules per intrinsic ion pair in hydrated PAH/PAA PECs prepared at pH 3.5, 5.5, 7, and 9. The number of water molecules is taken as the total amount water added to the complex. The number of intrinsic ion pairs is calculated from the PEC mass and PAH/PAA composition, assuming that all PAH units are ionized and participate in intrinsic ion pairing. (b) Linear fitting of $\ln(\text{water}/\text{intrinsic ion pair})$ vs $1/T_{tr}$. The legend in (a) also applies to (b). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 67. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

Specifically, the linearization of T_g for the PAH/PAA complexes was comparable to that of the PDADMA/PSS PECs (see Figure 3d), where the two systems exhibited similar slopes.⁶⁶ Taken together, this suggests that the glass transition of a PEC occurs by a water-mediated relaxation at the intrinsic ion pair, where water plasticizes and lubricates the system. In support of this, our MD simulations⁷⁰ on PDADMA/PSS systems suggested that the thermal transition results from the rearrangement and dynamic changes of water molecules around the polyanion.


Speculation on the Physical Interpretation of the Glass Transition's Relationship to Water and Intrinsic Ion Pairing. The scaling of T_g with the ratio of water molecules to intrinsic ion pairs presented in eq 1 was, when first presented in 2016,⁶⁷ phenomenological. With further study of two different systems (PDADMA/PSS and PAH/PAA) and two different processing approaches (PEMs and PECs) under different pH values, ionic strengths, and assembly salts, the scaling presented within eq 1 remained valid, as described in the two prior sections. Here, we present a speculative description on a possible physical interpretation that captures the essence of eq 1.

Consider an intrinsic ion pair with a surrounding shell of water molecules. In one extreme, this intrinsic ion pair may exist as a contact ion pair in which the positively and negatively charged PE groups do not have any solvent molecules between them. Solvation of the PE–PE ion pair can involve water molecules between the PE–PE ion pair as part of the hydration shell of the intrinsic ion pair, creating a solvent-separated ion pair. Such solvent-separated polycation–polyanion pairs are weaker because the water molecules are electric dipoles, locally screening the PE ionic group charges.⁷⁶ The more water molecules in the solvation shell of the PE–PE ion pair, the more efficiently screened is the intrinsic ion pair. This proposed mechanism allows the formulation of an equilibrium between an intrinsic ion pair (IP) hydrated with n water molecules and an IP that is hydrated with $n + 1$ water molecules:




The insertion of additional water into the hydration shell of the intrinsic ion pair may also be sufficient to allow further relaxation of the assembly via the intrinsic ion pair either rearranging with another adjacent ion pair or by allowing it to break to form an extrinsic ion pair. Our molecular dynamics simulations indicate

that hydration does not strongly affect the fraction of intrinsic/extrinsic ion pairing. For some PE pairs, such as PDADMA/PAA, the increase in hydration slightly decreases intrinsic ion pairing, and slight changes in intrinsic ion pair number with relative humidity have also been observed for PAH/PAA systems.^{76,77} However, the decrease in intrinsic ion pairs remains minor in comparison to the change in their dynamics. Presuming that rearrangement of a weakened intrinsic ion pair primarily occurs via exchange with adjacent intrinsic ion pairs, we propose that intrinsic ion pairs (with or without solvent separation) may experience transition states where increasing hydration induces brief separation but the ion pair remains positionally correlated



where $\text{P}^+ \cdot a\text{H}_2\text{O}$ represents a polycation repeat unit hydrated by a water molecules and $\text{P}^- \cdot b\text{H}_2\text{O}$ represents a polyanion repeat unit hydrated by b water molecules. The moles of water in the complex is related to the coefficients as $n + 1 = a + b$. The addition of the two reactions yields



where the equilibrium between the intrinsic ion pair's states is represented by

$$K_{eq} = \frac{x^2}{(C_{IP} - x)(C_{H_2O} - x)} \quad (5)$$

where x represents the change in concentration of cation/anion pairs due to the conversion of contact intrinsic ion pairs into a solvent-separated state (or a solvent-separated pair into one with an additional water molecule included in its hydration shell). We propose that there exists some critical fraction of sufficiently solvent-separated (or extra-hydrated) intrinsic ion pairs, y , for which a bulk-scale relaxation is permitted, which may be represented as eq 6, yielding a new expression for the equilibrium as eq 7:

$$y = \frac{x}{C_{IP}} \quad (6)$$

$$K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{y^2 C_{\text{IP}}^2}{(C_{\text{IP}} - yC_{\text{IP}})(C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} - yC_{\text{IP}})} = \frac{y^2 C_{\text{IP}}}{(1 - y)(C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} - yC_{\text{IP}})} \quad (7)$$

Presuming that only a small fraction of solvent-separated (or extra-hydrated) intrinsic ion pairs are required to undergo a relaxation such that $yC_{\text{IP}} \ll C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, eq 7 becomes

$$K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{y^2 C_{\text{IP}}}{(1 - y)C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \quad (8)$$

Finally, the equilibrium can be related to the reaction's enthalpy and entropy by the van't Hoff relationship

$$\ln K_{\text{eq}} = \ln \frac{y^2 C_{\text{IP}}}{(1 - y)C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} = -\frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{R} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\ln \frac{C_{\text{IP}}}{C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} = \ln \frac{n_{\text{IP}}}{n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} = -\frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \ln \frac{y^2}{(1 - y)} \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{T_g} \sim -\ln \frac{C_{\text{IP}}}{C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \text{ or } \frac{1}{T_g} \sim \ln \frac{C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{C_{\text{IP}}} \quad (11)$$

where ΔH and ΔS represent the respective enthalpy and entropy associated with the conversion of the intrinsic ion pair from a tightly bound ion pair to a more solvent-separated ion pair. If we presume that a T_g -like event occurs when there exists a sufficient fraction of solvent-separated ion pairs y , then we retrieve a scaling similar to that presented in eq 1.

By this reasoning, we revisited our data from prior publications and replotted them in the form of $\ln \frac{n_{\text{IP}}}{n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}$ vs $-\frac{1}{T_g}$ (Figure S1). The slope remains consistent with the van't Hoff enthalpy of a water hydrogen bond disruption (-10.5 kJ/mol) as before.^{66,67} The PDADMA/PSS and PAH/PAA systems have different y intercepts, which may be interpreted as entropic differences and/or differences in the critical value of y to undergo the relaxation.

We acknowledge that this treatment is speculative because we do not have direct evidence of the extent of contact vs solvent-separated ion pairs. This explanation also does not directly incorporate extrinsic ion pairing, but we have found that, through our studies of PAH/PAA, extrinsic ion pairing is indirectly included in that it affects C_{IP} and n_{IP} . And as shown in Figure 3(b), the addition of NaCl could decrease T_g due to the breakup of intrinsic ion pairs due to screening.

■ INFLUENCE OF WATER ON THE DYNAMICS OF PECS AND PEMs

PECs can show multiple relaxation times (τ), with shorter time scale relaxations attributed to the breaking and reformation of intrinsic ion pairs and longer time scale relaxations corresponding to the relaxation of polyelectrolyte chains. The dynamics at the intrinsic ion pair are closely related to ionic strength, which, as shown above, is linked to the glass transition by the salts' ability to change the number of intrinsic ion pairs. In turn, salt can strongly affect the mechanical properties of PECs and PEMs.⁵⁶ For example, Spruijt et al. found that the storage and loss moduli of PECs decrease with increasing salt concentration,

resulting from the added salt decreasing the number of intrinsic ion pair cross-links.⁷⁸ The dynamics of the PEC were described using time–salt superpositioning, indicating that the salt concentration did not alter the PEC's relaxation mechanism. However, PECs and PEMs dissolve at sufficiently high salt concentrations.^{57,79} Elsewhere, Akkaoui et al. found that the long-term relaxation time of coacervates decreased with increasing salt concentration.⁸⁰ We also pointed out by MD simulations the dual role of adding salt.⁷¹ Taken together, the dependence of mechanical properties and relaxation times on salt concentration has been studied extensively. However, the impact of water is less understood. In our group, we have studied the dependence of water on the mechanical properties and relaxation of PAH–PAA PECs, including time–water, time–water–temperature, and time–water–temperature–pH relationships, to reveal a scaling relationship that connects relaxation time to water and intrinsic ion pairing.

Effect of Water on the Ionic Conductivity, Mechanical Properties, and Chain Mobility of PECs and PEMs. Other groups have observed the strong influence of water in the properties of PEMs and PECs. For example, water enhances the conductivity of PECs and PEMs,^{81–85} in which ionic transport is described by the dynamic structure model (DSM). In DSM, ionic transport occurs via an ion hopping mechanism in which the polyelectrolytes are undergoing local rearrangement.⁸⁵ For example, Akgol et al. observed that the DC conductivity, σ_{DC} , of PEMs increased with relative humidity (RH) for a range of polyelectrolyte systems by the following equation⁸¹

$$\log(\sigma_{\text{DC}}) = c^* \text{RH} + d \quad (12)$$

where c and d are fitting constants. Later, De et al. attributed the enhanced ionic mobility to a reduction in the activation energy for ion transport caused by the increased volume fraction of water.⁸⁴ Cramer et al. studied the conductivity of PDADMA/PSS PECs at different RH values, leading to the successful application of time–humidity superpositioning, proving that the ion transport mechanism does not change with the PECs' water content.⁸³

Water has also been shown to plasticize and soften PEMs and PECs. For example, Wang et al. studied the effect of RH on chain mobility in polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP), in which humidity annealing promoted recovery.⁸⁶ Elsewhere, Huang et al.⁸⁷ studied the mechanical properties of polyelectrolyte complex fibers of alginate and PDADMA as a function of RH; the initial modulus and ultimate tensile strength continuously decreased whereas the breaking strain increased with increasing RH. In a different study, Hariri et al.⁵⁰ studied the mechanical properties of PDADMA/PSS PEMs as a function of water content using nanoindentation in which the elastic modulus increased as the water content decreased by the following equation

$$E_p = E_{p_0} e^{-k_m r_p} \quad (13)$$

where E_p is the elastic modulus of the plasticized PEM, E_{p_0} is the elastic modulus of the dry PEM, k_m is the plasticizer efficiency, and r_p is the mole ratio of the plasticizer (i.e., water) to polymer. This further highlights that water acts as a plasticizer in PECs and promotes chain mobility.

Effect of Water on Dynamic Mechanical Properties and Relaxation Time in PECs. Motivated by the time–humidity superposition principle mentioned earlier, we applied




Figure 8. (a) Time–temperature master curves for RH values of 50, 70, 80, 85, 90, and 95% for a PAH/PAA PEC system. (b) Time–temperature–water super master curve compiled using time–temperature master curves in (a) with $RH_{ref} = 80\%$ and $T_{ref} = 40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The legend in (b) also applies to (a). (c) Number of intrinsic ion pairs per single PAH repeating unit as a function of the temperature and relative humidity from MD simulations of PAH/PAA PEC models at corresponding RHs. (d) Mean square displacement (MSD) of PAH chains at different hydration levels and temperatures in the MD simulations. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 77. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

the principle of time–temperature–water superpositioning to the dynamic mechanical properties of PAH/PAA PECs prepared at pH 7.0.⁷⁷ First, time–temperature superposition (TTS) was performed for dynamic mechanical data measured at different relative humidity values (50–95%). The horizontal temperature shift factor (a_T) obtained after performing TTS at a given RH value was described by the Arrhenius equation. However, as the RH increased, the activation energy from the Arrhenius relationship for the a_T values also increased. Figure 8a shows the TTS master curves obtained at different RH values. E' (storage modulus) decreased with increasing RH due to softening of the PEC by water, which acts as a plasticizer. The TTS curves obtained at different RH values were superposed to obtain a time–temperature–water superposition (TTWS) master curve (Figure 8b). Interestingly, the shift factors corresponding to the TTWS, a_w , followed a log–linear relation with respect to the PEC's water content

$$\ln(a_w) = d(W_{H_2O} - W_{ref}) + e \quad (14)$$

where W_{H_2O} is the weight % of water in the PEC, W_{ref} is the corresponding weight % of water at the chosen reference RH, d is the slope, and e is the y intercept. Interestingly, eq 14 mirrors

eq 12, which describes the humidity dependence of conductivity in PECs and PEMs.

All-atom molecular dynamics simulations of the PAH/PAA PEC system were performed to understand if water content or hydration would affect the types of ion pairs present within the PEC.⁷⁷ Figure 8c shows that the number of intrinsic ion pairs remains unchanged within the studied temperature range and is only slightly affected by the different levels of hydration, which suggests that TTW is valid for the temperature and hydration range studied. Also, PAH chain mobility in the PECs was investigated for varying temperatures and hydration levels (Figure 8d). The PAH chain mobility was similar for 85% RH at 35 °C and 70% RH at 55 °C, which highlights that temperature and water show similar effects on the mean square displacement (MSD) of PAH chains. The same behavior was observed for PAA chains. As before with PDADMA/PSS PECs,⁷³ both temperature and hydration result in a similar plasticization effect in the PEC, which explains why the temperature and hydration can be treated as mutually interchangeable factors.

The previous study was performed for PAH/PAA PECs at one complexation pH, i.e., pH = 7.0. In 2021, we extended our study to include multiple complexation pH's.⁸⁸ First, TTS was performed at different RH values for a particular pH of




Figure 9. (a) Natural log of relaxation time vs the inverse of the volume fraction of water in PAH/PAA PECs. The solid line depicts the fit of a free volume model ($\ln(\tau) = A + \frac{B}{\phi_w}$). (b) Dynamic mechanical data (E' , storage modulus and E'' , loss modulus) of PAH/PAA complexes at pH values of 4.5, 5.5, and 7.0 at an equivalent volume fraction of water (27%). The curves have been shifted using TTWS. (c) Natural log of relaxation time τ vs the ratio of the number of intrinsic ion pairs to the number of water molecules for PAH/PAA PECs at pH values of 4.5, 5.5, and 7.0. The green dashed line shows a linear fit of the data. The number of intrinsic ion pairs was calculated by assuming that PAH was fully ionized and that every PAH repeat unit formed an intrinsic ion pair. (d) Time–temperature–water–pH superposition with pH 4.5 as the reference pH, 30 °C as the reference temperature, and W_{ref} as the reference water percentage. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from ref 88. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.

complexation. Next, time–temperature–water master curves were produced by performing TTWS. The master curves in this case showed an excellent overlay of $\tan(\delta)$. The relaxation time was estimated by the inverse crossover frequency using the dynamic mechanical data and was found to be inversely proportional to the volume fraction of water, ϕ_w ($\ln(\tau) = A + \frac{B}{\phi_w}$) (Figure 9a). Doolittle⁸⁹ studied the viscosity of entangled polymer systems and postulated that viscosity is inversely proportional to the free volume fraction, ϕ_f ($\eta = Ae^{B/\phi_f}$). This suggests that, in the case of hydrated PECs, water acts as a plasticizer and provides free volume to facilitate chain relaxation. This is closely tied to the prior observations of increased ionic conductivity with increasing water content in PECs.⁸² As discussed below, the microenvironment of water in the PEC, whether it be bound or free, strongly influences the PEC's relaxation.

Next in our efforts to resolve the effect of water on the mechanical properties of PECs, we moved to an analysis of the dynamic mechanical response of PAH–PAA complexed at different pH values (4.5, 5.5, and 7.0). As before, the TTWS approach was taken. As shown in Figure 9b, E' decreased as the complexation pH decreased. This can be explained by a smaller number of intrinsic ion pairs with a smaller complexation pH. Additionally, the crossover frequency of E' and E'' (loss modulus) shifted to higher frequency values with decreasing

complexation pH (Figure 9b). This indicates that the PEC relaxation time is dependent on the complexation pH at similar volume fractions of water. Therefore, E' and the relaxation time, τ , both decrease with decreasing complexation pH. Interestingly, a plot of $\ln(\tau)$ versus the number of intrinsic ion pairs/number of water molecules led to a collapse of the relaxation times obtained for the different pH values examined (Figure 9c). In comparison, no such collapse was obtained for when $\ln(\tau)$ was plotted against the inverse of the volume fraction of water. This supports a conclusion that the relaxation in hydrated PAH–PAA PECs was mediated by water molecules at the intrinsic ion pairs. This finding relates closely to previously reported studies from our group on the effect of water on the glass-transition temperature.^{66,67} Additionally, it attracts attention to the key role of bound water and the degree of water binding at the ion pairs, as the local hydration of the ion pair appears to be key to the response. Last, a Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts (KWW) model was used to validate that the dynamics were consistent across the conditions studied, which then allowed for the application of time–temperature–water–pH (or intrinsic ion pair) superpositioning (Figure 9d). Elsewhere, Teka et al. successfully applied time–pH superposition for PDADMA–PAA complexes and suggested that the complexation pH does not affect the relaxation mechanism.⁹⁰

■ SWELLING DUE TO SALT AND SOLVENT

Water clearly has an influence on the glass transition and dynamics of PEMs and PECs because both take up water, leading to swelling. Water uptake into PEMs and PECs has been studied extensively as a function of numerous factors such as salt type, ionic strength, temperature, humidity, and the terminating layer during and after assembly.^{21,68,69,73,91–96} Swelling is often caused by changes in polyelectrolyte chain conformation, breaking intrinsic ion pairs to form extrinsic ion pairs, and electrostatic repulsion. For example, osmotic pressure can influence the postassembly swelling of PEMs at low ionic strengths.⁹⁶ In general, swelling is considered in terms of overall bulk swelling and swelling with respect to water microenvironments.

In this section, we discuss our contributions to understanding the swelling response of PEMs to different salts, solvent additives, and temperature using experimental techniques such as Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy,²¹ QCM-D,^{57,68,91,92} and MDSC^{73,76} but also MD simulations.⁷⁶ To study the effects of salt specifically on PEM swelling, a number of variables have been explored: co-anion and co-cation type,^{69,91} monovalent and divalent co-ions,⁶⁸ and salt effects during assembly and postassembly.^{21,92} We have also addressed the effect of swelling by the presence of mixed solvents.⁹⁷

Swelling of PEMs in Monovalent and Divalent Salt Solutions. The swelling of PEMs in different salt solutions is well documented, but in the mid-2010s, there was still a knowledge gap as to why certain PEMs swelled in relation to co-cation and/or co-anion type. To examine this, we explored the swelling responses of PDADMA/PSS PEMs assembled in 0.5 M NaCl that were immersed in KBr solutions after assembly.⁹¹ Upon exposure, the sodium and chloride co-ions that were compensating for extrinsic ion pairs would exchange to some degree with the contacting salt solution. For example, PEMs demonstrated the preferential uptake of K^+ and Br^- ions and the release of Na^+ and Cl^- ions upon immersion in KBr solutions.⁹¹ Comparing the swelling behavior of PEMs in KBr to that of NaCl, KCl, and NaBr, we observed that the co-anion type had a higher impact on ion exchange and swelling in the PDADMA/PSS PEM, with Br^- causing the most swelling.

Four swelling regimes were identified as the postassembly KBr salt concentration was increased from 0 to 2.0 M for the PDADMA/PSS PEMs.⁹¹ In the first regime, from 0–0.001 M KBr, excess swelling of up to 120% occurred as a result of electrostatic repulsion of the uncompensated PDADMA from neighboring charge sites. In the second regime, from 0.01 to 0.5 M KBr, the PEMs contracted slightly as the postassembly salt concentration began to match the assembly concentration and an adequate number of counterions are present for compensation. In the third regime, from 0.5 to 1.6 M KBr, swelling occurred proportionally to the postassembly concentration as a result of increased electrostatic screening and the conversion of intrinsic to extrinsic ion pairs. In the fourth regime, >2.0 M KBr, excessive charge screening led to deconstruction of the film. In our later works, we observed similar swelling regimes for PDADMA/PSS PEMs under different measurement methods.^{21,92}

Divalent ions are known to cause bridging between the polyelectrolyte chains,^{98,99} which may cause very different swelling behaviors for PEMs as compared to those of monovalent ions. To better understand this, we studied the effects of three divalent salt cations and anions, $CaCl_2$, $MgCl_2$,

and Na_2SO_4 , on PDADMA/PSS PEMs using QCM-D.⁶⁸ The results indicate that Mg^{2+} ions were superior doping agents because of their larger hydration shells as compared to those of Ca^{2+} . These observations are characteristic of the Donnan exclusion/inclusion principle and the hydration properties of the ions interacting with the PEMs. On the other hand, the Na_2SO_4 concentration did not have a strong effect on swelling. This points to the dominance of the divalent salt cation over the divalent salt anion in the swelling and doping of PEMs because PDADMA is present in excess within the PEM.

Swelling of PEMs in Mixed Solvents. Previous studies explored the swelling of PEMs in aqueous solutions of different ionic strengths. However, studies have shown that solvent quality could also impact PEM growth and swelling.^{96,100,101} To understand solvent effects, we explored the swelling/deswelling behavior of PEMs postassembly in ethanol– or urea–water mixtures.⁹⁷ On a molecular level, MD simulations showed that urea and ethanol had significant but opposite effects on both PDADMA and PSS solvation and interactions. For an individual PSS chain, ethanol preferentially accumulated at the hydrophobic backbone with a higher water accumulation at the charged sulfonate groups. For an individual PDADMA chain, ethanol distributed in a manner associated with water layering. On the other hand, urea was not influenced by hydrophobicity and evenly accumulated around both PSS and PDADMA. When complexed, the introduction of both ethanol and urea pushed the polyelectrolytes apart, but ethanol caused a smaller change in backbone separation through counterion condensation as compared to urea which formed a persistent solvation shell. These simulation results were confirmed using zeta potential measurements for individual polyelectrolyte solutions and QCM-D for complexed films. Specifically, PSS/PDADMA PEMs exposed to urea solutions of increasing concentration experienced greater swelling than those exposed to ethanol solutions.

Besides solvent effects, we have explored the combined effect of salt and temperature on the hydration of PDADMA/PSS PEMs using attenuated total reflectance (ATR) FTIR spectroscopy.²¹ Water uptake in response to postassembly exposure to varying concentrations of NaCl in deuterated water HOD and varying temperatures was monitored through changes in the oxygen–deuterium (OD) stretch peak. Overall, increasing the temperature only slightly reduced the PEM's water content, whereas the addition of salt led to more significant swelling, consistent with the previous observations.^{68,91} Taken together, PEMs swell in response to solvent, temperature, and ionic strength but also ion species. Additionally, the nature of water within the PEM, i.e., bound vs bulk-like, can strongly influence properties, as discussed below.

■ WATER MICROENVIRONMENTS IN POLYELECTROLYTE COMPLEXES AND MULTILAYERS

Water plays a crucial role in PECs as water can transform a PEC from the dry, brittle state to a rubbery state when hydrated. As a result, many studies have been carried out to understand the role of water in complexation and resulting properties. The literature presents varying ideas, definitions, and characterization classifications for the various states of water within a PEC, but overall, the important factor is to consider the molecular view of water microenvironments present in a PEC. In a review by Koehler et al., the authors summarized their works on water microenvironments in polyelectrolyte multilayers determined




Figure 10. (a) DSC heat flow curves for PAH/PAA PECs at pH 7.0 with varying water content. Adapted with permission from ref 88. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (b) Water microenvironments in polyelectrolyte complexes and multilayers as identified by DSC and ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Reproduced from ref 21 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry.

from neutron reflectometry.⁹³ They identified two main types of water, namely, “void water”, which occupies pores within the PEM LbL film but does not contribute to thickness changes, and “swelling water”, which mainly contributes to thickness changes.^{93,102,103} Comparable results have been found using MD simulations showing “bulk” water molecules within water channels in PDADMA/PSS PECs and “surface” water molecules in direct contact with the PE chains.¹⁰⁴ The findings assigned microstates as “void water” having bulk-like water characteristics and “surface water” having constrained dynamics indicating a bound, confined state. Hence, changes in the surface water influence the chain interactions.

In 2018, we investigated water microenvironments by monitoring the changes in the freezing temperature of water within PDADMA/PSS PECs as the PEC hydration increased from 18 to 30 wt % water by DSC measurements.⁷³ Three possible water microenvironments were considered. At hydration levels of <30 wt % water, no freezing peak was identified in the DSC heat flow curves, indicating the existence of “non-freezing bound” water. Corresponding MD simulations indicated that for up to 30 wt % water, the vast majority of water molecules remained immobilized due to the strong interactions with the PE charge groups. However, at 30 wt % water, a small melting peak in the DSC data was identified from 255 to 270 K, lower than the melting temperature of pure water at 273 K. This indicated the existence of “freezing but bound” water within the PEC. “Freezing free” water with a melting peak at 273 K was not identified in the studied hydration range, indicating that all water had some binding interaction with the ion pairs. Similarly, freezing bound water was identified in complexes of weak polyelectrolytes PAH/PAA at hydration levels >28.8 wt % water as shown in Figure 10a.⁸⁸

In a similar approach, the water microenvironments in complexes containing both weak and strong polyelectrolytes (PAH/PSS and PDADMA/PAA) were compared.⁷⁶ For PAH/PSS PECs, at low hydrations of <30 wt % both nonfreezing bound and freezing bound water were identified, and no freezing free water was observed. At hydrations from 30–38 wt % water, freezing free water became evident and increased with the added water content while the nonfreezing bound water content decreased. On the other hand, all water in the PDADMA/PAA PEC existed in the nonfreezing bound state. MD simulations explained this difference in that PAA–water hydrogen bonding in PDADMA/PAA PECs was stronger than PSS–water

hydrogen bonding in PAH–PSS PECs. In general, we showed that the distribution of water into the different microenvironments is closely related to the interplay between the PE–PE and PE–water interactions strengths.

We have probed water microenvironments in PDADMA/PSS PEMs also by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy for varying concentrations of NaCl-HOD solutions.²¹ By deconvoluting the OD stretching peak to subcontributions, three possible water microenvironments were identified. The “high frequency” water represents water that is tightly bound at polyelectrolyte ion pairs, the “low frequency” water represents water that is more loosely bound to polyelectrolyte ion pairs, and the “bulk” water corresponds to water that is free of polyelectrolyte ion pair interactions. At all studied temperatures and ionic strengths, no bulk water peak was identified, corroborating our prior findings from DSC.^{73,76}

In these prior DSC^{73,76,88} and ATR-FTIR spectroscopy²¹ studies, we considered water microenvironments (Figure 10b) in relation to polyelectrolyte ion pairs, but the studies could not distinguish between water at intrinsic or extrinsic ion pairs. In our latest QCM-D study, we developed a method to create this distinction and estimate the number of water molecules specifically associated with intrinsic ion pairs. Here, PSS/PDADMA PEMs were assembled and then exposed to NaCl or KBr solutions of varying ionic strength. At postassembly salt concentrations >0.5 M, a linear dependence existed between the total amount of water per intrinsic ion pair and the postassembly salt concentration. The number of water molecules associated with intrinsic ion pairs, “*i*”, was obtained from the slope of the line, and the hydration coefficient (representing the hydrating power of the salt) was obtained from the intercept. We observed higher values of *i* in KBr-assembled PEMs because KBr is a more chaotropic and thus a more effective doping agent as compared to NaCl.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

This Feature Article provided a perspective on our group’s contributions to the general understanding of the glass transition, dynamics, swelling, and water effects in PEMs and PECs. The past decade has brought about remarkable advances in revealing the connections among these factors, but a complete understanding is lacking. Therefore, we believe that the study of the relaxation of PEMs and PECs remains a rich area for future exploration.

For example, our prior work focused mostly upon simple polyelectrolyte system, with the neglect of hydrophobic interactions. However, hydrophobic interactions appear to have a nuanced influence on the polyelectrolyte complex. In one example, Kazi Sadman et al.¹⁰⁵ demonstrated that increasing hydrophobicity of the polycation had a nonlinear influence on mechanical properties and increased resistance to salt resistance. On the contrary, Yang et al.¹⁰⁶ reported that increasing hydrophobicity decreased the critical salt concentration. These contradictory results show us an unclear understanding and expectation of the influence of hydrophobic interaction on the thermal transition of PECs, making it an interesting area for future exploration. Besides hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen-bonding interactions should also be considered. Li et al.¹⁰⁷ investigated the influence of nonelectrostatic interactions on the phase behavior with various pH values for PAA and PAH. Besides, the effect of hydrogen bonding on the PEC phase behavior was examined with increasing concentrations of urea to progressively inhibit hydrogen bonding. Similarly, we recently showed⁹⁷ that polymer–solvent and polymer–polymer interactions could be altered by introducing urea or another solvent additive with a different dielectric constant, such as ethanol or others. Besides these factors, we propose that a closer examination of water microstates and intrinsic ion pairing should be carried out. For example, we proposed in the section above that water could shift contact intrinsic ion pairs to solvent-separated intrinsic ion pairs, but direct evidence does not yet exist.

Overall, the knowledge gained with respect to the dynamics of PEMs and PECs should have a long-lasting impact on their processing and use in future applications in energy, health, and the environment. With this new understanding, we envision that PEMs and PECs could be specifically tuned by the selection of assembly conditions and water content to yield desired mechanical properties and performance.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.3c00974>.

Linear fitting of $\ln(\text{number of intrinsic ion pair/number of water molecules})$ vs $-1/T_g$ for poly(allyamine hydrochloride)–poly(acrylic acid) complexes prepared at complexation pH values equal to 3.5, 5.5, 7.0, and 9.0 (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Jodie L. Lutkenhaus – Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77843, United States; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77840, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-2613-6016; Phone: 979-845-2682; Email: jodie.lutkenhaus@tamu.edu

Authors

Hongwei Li – Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77843, United States

Suvesh Manoj Lalwani – Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77843, United States

Chikaodinaka I. Eneh – Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77843, United States

Tamunoemi Braide – Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University, Texas 77843, United States

Piotr Batys – Jerzy Haber Institute of Catalysis and Surface Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, 30-239 Krakow, Poland; orcid.org/0000-0002-2264-3053

Maria Sammalkorpi – Department of Chemistry and Materials Science, Department of Bioproducts and Biosystems, and Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Life-Inspired Hybrid Materials (LIBER), Aalto University, 00076 Aalto, Finland; orcid.org/0000-0002-9248-430X

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.3c00974>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Biographies



Hongwei Li received his B.S. from China University of Mining and Technology and M.S. from Shanghai University. Then, he worked as a research assistant at Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. He obtained a Ph.D. degree in polymer science from The French National Centre for Scientific Research and University of Bordeaux in 2022. He is currently a postdoctoral researcher at Texas A&M University, and his research mainly focuses on colloidal materials and polyelectrolyte complexes.



Suvesh Manoj Lalwani received his B.E. (hons.) in chemical engineering from Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, India. He is currently a Ph.D. student at Texas A&M University, and his research mainly focuses on understanding the dynamics of polyelectrolyte chains in polyelectrolyte complexes and multilayers.



Chikaodinaka I. Eneh received her Ph.D. in chemical engineering under the supervision of Prof. Jodie Lutkenhaus at Texas A&M University in 2023. Her research focuses on the influence of water, salt, and temperature on polyelectrolyte complexes and multilayers.



Tamunoemi Braide has an impressive academic background in chemical engineering. She attained her Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Kentucky in 2021 and earned a Master of Science degree from Texas A&M University in 2023. Her research endeavors have focused on advancing human and environmental health, more recently, polymeric assemblies. She is actively pursuing her doctorate in chemical engineering at Texas A&M University, where she is making notable strides in this area of study.



Piotr Batys is an assistant professor at Jerzy Haber Institute of Catalysis and Surface Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, where he received his Ph.D. (2015) and habilitation degree (2021). He was a postdoctoral researcher at Aalto University from 2016 to 2018. His current research focuses on molecular dynamics simulation studies of polyelectrolytes, proteins, and surfactants.



Maria Sammalkorpi leads the Soft Materials Modelling Group of the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence in Research in Life-Inspired Hybrid Materials (LIBER) at Aalto University, Finland. Her research is computational modelling work that focuses on macromolecular and colloidal assemblies but also complex externally driven assembly in soft matter. She received her doctorate from Helsinki University of Technology in 2004 and did postdoctoral research at the City College of New York, Princeton University, and Yale University before establishing a research group at Aalto University, Finland.



Jodie L. Lutkenhaus is a professor and holder of the Axalta Coating Systems Chair in the Artie McFerrin Department of Chemical Engineering. Lutkenhaus received her B.S. in chemical engineering in 2002 from The University of Texas at Austin and her Ph.D. in chemical engineering in 2007 from Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Current research areas include polyelectrolytes, redox-active polymers, energy storage, and composites. Lutkenhaus is the Deputy Editor of *ACS Applied Polymer Materials* and a member of the U.S. National Academies Board of Chemical Sciences & Technology.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. 1905732, National Science Centre, Poland (grant no. 2018/31/D/ST5/01866) (P.B.), Academy of Finland through its Centres of Excellence Programme (2022–2029, LIBER) under project no. 346111 (M.S.), and Novo Nordisk Foundation under project no. NNF22OC0074060 (M.S.). We are grateful for the support by the FinnCERES Materials Bioeconomy Ecosystem. Computational resources by the CSC IT Centre for Science, Finland, RAMI – RawMatTERS Finland Infrastructure, and Poland's high-performance computing infrastructure PLGrid (HPC Centers: ACK Cyfronet AGH), grant no. PLG/2023/016229, are also gratefully acknowledged.

ABBREVIATIONS

PEMs polyelectrolyte multilayers
 PECs polyelectrolyte complexes
 PEs polyelectrolytes
 T_g glass-transition temperature
 PDADMA poly(diallyldimethylammonium)
 PSS poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate)
 PAH poly(allylamine hydrochloride)
 PAA poly(acrylic acid)
 QCM-D quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation
 MDSC modulated differential scanning calorimetry
 NAA neutron activation analysis
 LbL layer by layer
 T_{tr} thermal transition
 ^1H NMR nuclear magnetic resonance
 MD molecular dynamics
 y^+ or y^- cation or anion doping level
 IP ion pair
 τ relaxation time
 DSM dynamic structure model
 RH relative humidity
 σ_{DC} DC conductivity
 TTS time–temperature superposition
 a_T horizontal temperature shift factor
 E' storage modulus
 TTWS time–temperature–water superposition
 MSD mean square displacement
 ϕ_w volume fraction of water
 ϕ_f free volume fraction
 E'' loss modulus
 $\tan(\delta)$ ratio of loss modulus to storage modulus
 KWW Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts
 FTIR Fourier transform infrared
 ATR attenuated total reflectance
 HOD deuterated water
 OD oxygen–deuterium

REFERENCES

- (1) Liu, X.; Haddou, M.; Grillo, I.; Mana, Z.; Chapel, J.-P.; Schatz, C. Early Stage Kinetics of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervation Monitored through Stopped-Flow Light Scattering. *Soft Matter* **2016**, *12*, 9030–9038.
- (2) Li, H.; Fauquignon, M.; Haddou, M.; Schatz, C.; Chapel, J.-P. Interfacial Behavior of Solid- and Liquid-Like Polyelectrolyte Complexes as a Function of Charge Stoichiometry. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 3848.
- (3) Gradzielski, M. Polyelectrolyte-Surfactant Complexes as a Formulation Tool for Drug Delivery. *Langmuir* **2022**, *38*, 13330–13343.
- (4) Putro, J. N.; Lunardi, V. B.; Soetaredjo, F. E.; Yuliana, M.; Santoso, S. P.; Wenten, I. G.; Ismadji, S. A Review of Gum Hydrocolloid Polyelectrolyte Complexes (PEC) for Biomedical Applications: Their Properties and Drug Delivery Studies. *Processes* **2021**, *9*, 1796.
- (5) Potas, J.; Winnicka, K. The Potential of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Films as Drug Delivery Materials. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 3496.
- (6) Wu, K.; Guan, X.; Hou, Z.; Liu, L.; Zhao, H.; Liu, S.; Fei, T.; Zhang, T. Humidity Sensors Based on Metal Organic Frameworks Derived Polyelectrolyte Films. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2021**, *602*, 646–653.
- (7) Liu, Z.; Yin, Z.; Wang, J.; Zheng, Q. Polyelectrolyte Dielectrics for Flexible Low-Voltage Organic Thin-Film Transistors in Highly Sensitive Pressure Sensing. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1806092.
- (8) Stekolshchikova, A. A.; Radaev, A. V.; Orlova, O. Y.; Nikolaev, K. G.; Skorb, E. V. Thin and Flexible Ion Sensors Based on Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Assembled onto the Carbon Adhesive Tape. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 15421–15427.
- (9) Wu, F.; Li, J.; Su, Y.; Wang, J.; Yang, W.; Li, N.; Chen, L.; Chen, S.; Chen, R.; Bao, L. Layer-by-Layer Assembled Architecture of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers and Graphene Sheets on Hollow Carbon Spheres/Sulfur Composite for High-Performance Lithium-Sulfur Batteries. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 5488–5494.
- (10) Yan, J.; Baird, M. A.; Popple, D. C.; Zettl, A.; Russell, T. P.; Helms, B. A. Structured-Liquid Batteries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 3979–3988.
- (11) DeLongchamp, D. M.; Hammond, P. T. Highly Ion Conductive Poly(Ethylene Oxide)-Based Solid Polymer Electrolytes from Hydrogen Bonding Layer-by-Layer Assembly. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 5403–5411.
- (12) Lee, S. W.; Kim, B.-S.; Chen, S.; Shao-Horn, Y.; Hammond, P. T. Layer-by-Layer Assembly of All Carbon Nanotube Ultrathin Films for Electrochemical Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 671–679.
- (13) Nam, K. T.; Kim, D.-W.; Yoo, P. J.; Chiang, C.-Y.; Meethong, N.; Hammond, P. T.; Chiang, Y.-M.; Belcher, A. M. Virus-Enabled Synthesis and Assembly of Nanowires for Lithium Ion Battery Electrodes. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 885–888.
- (14) Ottenhall, A.; Henschen, J.; Illergård, J.; Ek, M. Cellulose-Based Water Purification Using Paper Filters Modified with Polyelectrolyte Multilayers to Remove Bacteria from Water through Electrostatic Interactions. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2018**, *4*, 2070–2079.
- (15) Bediako, J. K.; Kang, J.-H.; Yun, Y.-S.; Choi, S.-H. Facile Processing of Polyelectrolyte Complexes for Immobilization of Heavy Metal Ions in Wastewater. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2022**, *4*, 2346–2354.
- (16) Virga, E.; Parra, M. A.; de Vos, W. M. Fouling of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Based Nanofiltration Membranes During Produced Water Treatment: The Role of Surfactant Size and Chemistry. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2021**, *594*, 9–19.
- (17) Virga, E.; de Grooth, J.; Žvab, K.; de Vos, W. M. Stable Polyelectrolyte Multilayer-Based Hollow Fiber Nanofiltration Membranes for Produced Water Treatment. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2019**, *1*, 2230–2239.
- (18) Naas, C.; Scheler, U.; Lappan, U. Influence of pH on the Growth and the Local Dynamics of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54*, 1043–1051.
- (19) Yang, M.; Shi, J.; Schlenoff, J. B. Control of Dynamics in Polyelectrolyte Complexes by Temperature and Salt. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 1930–1941.
- (20) Meng, S.; Liu, Y.; Yeo, J.; Ting, J. M.; Tirrell, M. V. Effect of Mixed Solvents on Polyelectrolyte Complexes with Salt. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* **2020**, *298*, 887–894.
- (21) Eneh, C. I.; Bolen, M. J.; Suarez-Martinez, P. C.; Bachmann, A. L.; Zimudzi, T. J.; Hickner, M. A.; Batys, P.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Investigation of Water Microenvironments in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers at Varying Temperatures. *Soft Matter* **2020**, *16*, 2291–2300.
- (22) Jiang, T.; Moghaddam, S. Z.; Thormann, E. A pH-Responsive Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Film with Tunable Interfacial Properties. *Polymer* **2021**, *214*, 123367.
- (23) Syed, V. M. S.; Srivastava, S. Time-Ionic Strength Superposition: A Unified Description of Chain Relaxation Dynamics in Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 1067–1073.
- (24) Ye, Z.; Sun, S.; Wu, P. Distinct Cation-Anion Interactions in the UCST and LCST Behavior of Polyelectrolyte Complex Aqueous Solutions. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2020**, *9*, 974–979.
- (25) Ghostine, R. A.; Shamoun, R. F.; Schlenoff, J. B. Doping and Diffusion in an Extruded Saloplastic Polyelectrolyte Complex. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 4089–4094.
- (26) Fehér, B.; Zhu, K.; Nyström, B.; Varga, I.; Pedersen, J. S. Effect of Temperature and Ionic Strength on Micellar Aggregates of Oppositely Charged Thermoresponsive Block Copolymer Polyelectrolytes. *Langmuir* **2019**, *35*, 13614–13623.

- (27) Salomäki, M.; Vinokurov, I. A.; Kankare, J. Effect of Temperature on the Buildup of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 11232–11240.
- (28) Dubas, S. T.; Schlenoff, J. B. Factors Controlling the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Macromolecules* **1999**, *32*, 8153–8160.
- (29) Ali, S.; Bleuel, M.; Prabhu, V. M. Lower Critical Solution Temperature in Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 289–293.
- (30) Wang, Q.; Schlenoff, J. B. The Polyelectrolyte Complex/Coacervate Continuum. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 3108–3116.
- (31) Michaels, A. S.; Miekka, R. G. Polycation-Polyanion Complexes: Preparation and Properties of Poly-(Vinylbenzyltrimethylammonium) Poly-(Styrenesulfonate). *J. Phys. Chem.* **1961**, *65*, 1765–1773.
- (32) Michaels, A. S.; Falkenstein, G. L.; Schneider, N. S. Dielectric Properties of Polyanion—Polycation Complexes. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1965**, *69*, 1456–1465.
- (33) Michaels, A. S.; Mir, L.; Schneider, N. S. A Conductometric Study of Polycation—Polyanion Reactions in Dilute Aqueous Solution. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1965**, *69*, 1447–1455.
- (34) Michaels, A. S. Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry* **1965**, *57*, 32–40.
- (35) Mueller, R.; Köhler, K.; Weinkamer, R.; Sukhorukov, G.; Fery, A. Melting of PDADMAC/PSS Capsules Investigated with AFM Force Spectroscopy. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 9766–9771.
- (36) Köhler, K.; Möhwald, H.; Sukhorukov, G. B. Thermal Behavior of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Microcapsules: 2. Insight into Molecular Mechanisms for the PDADMAC/PSS System. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 24002–24010.
- (37) Imre, A. W.; Schönhoff, M.; Cramer, C. A Conductivity Study and Calorimetric Analysis of Dried Poly(Sodium 4-Styrene Sulfonate)/Poly(Diallyldimethylammonium Chloride) Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*, 134905.
- (38) Rubinstein, M.; Semenov, A. N. Thermoreversible Gelation in Solutions of Associating Polymers. 2. Linear Dynamics. *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 1386–1397.
- (39) Rubinstein, M.; Semenov, A. N. Dynamics of Entangled Solutions of Associating Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2001**, *34*, 1058–1068.
- (40) de Gennes, P. G. Reptation of a Polymer Chain in the Presence of Fixed Obstacles. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1971**, *55*, 572–579.
- (41) Fu, J.; Abbett, R. L.; Fares, H. M.; Schlenoff, J. B. Water and the Glass Transition Temperature in a Polyelectrolyte Complex. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2017**, *6*, 1114–1118.
- (42) Schönhoff, M. Layered Polyelectrolyte Complexes: Physics of Formation and Molecular Properties. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2003**, *15*, R1781.
- (43) Shamoun, R. F.; Hariri, H. H.; Ghostine, R. A.; Schlenoff, J. B. Thermal Transformations in Extruded Saloplastic Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 9759–9767.
- (44) Schaaf, P.; Schlenoff, J. B. Saloplastics: Processing Compact Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 2420–2432.
- (45) Krebs, T.; Tan, H. L.; Andersson, G.; Morgner, H.; Gregory Van Patten, P. Increased Layer Interdiffusion in Polyelectrolyte Films Upon Annealing in Water and Aqueous Salt Solutions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 5462–5468.
- (46) Nolte, A. J.; Treat, N. D.; Cohen, R. E.; Rubner, M. F. Effect of Relative Humidity on the Young's Modulus of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Films and Related Nonionic Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 5793–5798.
- (47) Gu, Y.; Zacharia, N. S. Self-Healing Actuating Adhesive Based on Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2015**, *25*, 3785–3792.
- (48) Gu, Y.; Weinheimer, E. K.; Ji, X.; Wiener, C. G.; Zacharia, N. S. Response of Swelling Behavior of Weak Branched Poly(Ethylene Imine)/Poly(Acrylic Acid) Polyelectrolyte Multilayers to Thermal Treatment. *Langmuir* **2016**, *32*, 6020–6027.
- (49) Lyu, X.; Clark, B.; Peterson, A. M. Thermal Transitions in and Structures of Dried Polyelectrolytes and Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **2017**, *55*, 684–691.
- (50) Hariri, H. H.; Lehaf, A. M.; Schlenoff, J. B. Mechanical Properties of Osmotically Stressed Polyelectrolyte Complexes and Multilayers: Water as a Plasticizer. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 9364–9372.
- (51) Kruk, T.; Chojnacka-Górka, K.; Kolasinska-Sojka, M.; Zapotoczny, S. Stimuli-Responsive Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Films and Microcapsules. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2022**, *310*, 102773.
- (52) Sing, C. E.; Perry, S. L. Recent Progress in the Science of Complex Coacervation. *Soft Matter* **2020**, *16*, 2885–2914.
- (53) Rumyantsev, A. M.; Jackson, N. E.; de Pablo, J. J. Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates: Recent Developments and New Frontiers. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2021**, *12*, 155–176.
- (54) Petrila, L.-M.; Bucatariu, F.; Mihai, M.; Teodosiu, C. Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: An Overview on Fabrication, Properties, and Biomedical and Environmental Applications. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 4152.
- (55) Larson, R. G.; Liu, Y.; Li, H. Linear Viscoelasticity and Time-Temperature-Salt and Other Superpositions in Polyelectrolyte Coacervates. *J. Rheol.* **2021**, *65*, 77–102.
- (56) Manoj Lalwani, S.; Eneh, C. I.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Emerging Trends in the Dynamics of Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *22*, 24157–24177.
- (57) Vidyasagar, A.; Sung, C.; Gamble, R.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Thermal Transitions in Dry and Hydrated Layer-by-Layer Assemblies Exhibiting Linear and Exponential Growth. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 6174–6184.
- (58) Vidyasagar, A.; Sung, C.; Losensky, K.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Ph-Dependent Thermal Transitions in Hydrated Layer-by-Layer Assemblies Containing Weak Polyelectrolytes. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 9169–9176.
- (59) Pühr, J. T.; Swerdlow, B. E.; Reid, D. K.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. The Effect of Nanoparticle Location and Shape on Thermal Transitions Observed in Hydrated Layer-by-Layer Assemblies. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 8107–8115.
- (60) Sung, C.; Vidyasagar, A.; Hearn, K.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Effect of Thickness on the Thermal Properties of Hydrogen-Bonded Lbl Assemblies. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 8100–8109.
- (61) Zhang, L.; Vidyasagar, A.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Fabrication and Thermal Analysis of Layer-by-Layer Micro- and Nanotubes. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2012**, *17*, 114–121.
- (62) Sung, C.; Hearn, K.; Reid, D. K.; Vidyasagar, A.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. A Comparison of Thermal Transitions in Dip- and Spray-Assisted Layer-by-Layer Assemblies. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 8907–8913.
- (63) Sung, C.; Vidyasagar, A.; Hearn, K.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Temperature-Triggered Shape-Transformations in Layer-by-Layer Microtubes. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2014**, *2*, 2088–2092.
- (64) Sung, C.; Hearn, K.; Lutkenhaus, J. Thermal Transitions in Hydrated Layer-by-Layer Assemblies Observed Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 6467–6476.
- (65) Reid, D. K.; Alves Freire, M.; Yao, H.; Sue, H.-J.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. The Effect of Surface Chemistry on the Glass Transition of Polycarbonate inside Cylindrical Nanopores. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2015**, *4*, 151–154.
- (66) Zhang, Y.; Batys, P.; O'Neal, J. T.; Li, F.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Molecular Origin of the Glass Transition in Polyelectrolyte Assemblies. *ACS Cent Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 638–644.
- (67) Zhang, Y.; Li, F.; Valenzuela, L. D.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Effect of Water on the Thermal Transition Observed in Poly(Allylamine Hydrochloride)-Poly(Acrylic Acid) Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 7563–7570.
- (68) Reid, D. K.; Summers, A.; O'Neal, J.; Kavarthapu, A. V.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Swelling and Thermal Transitions of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers in the Presence of Divalent Ions. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 5921–5930.
- (69) O'Neal, J. T.; Wilcox, K. G.; Zhang, Y.; George, I. M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Comparison of KBr and NaCl Effects on the Glass Transition Temperature of Hydrated Layer-by-Layer Assemblies. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149*, 163317.
- (70) Yildirim, E.; Zhang, Y.; Lutkenhaus, J. L.; Sammalkorpi, M. Thermal Transitions in Polyelectrolyte Assemblies Occur Via a Dehydration Mechanism. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2015**, *4*, 1017–1021.

- (71) Zhang, R.; Zhang, Y.; Antila, H. S.; Lutkenhaus, J. L.; Sammalkorpi, M. Role of Salt and Water in the Plasticization of PDAC/PSS Polyelectrolyte Assemblies. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, *121*, 322–333.
- (72) Walrafen, G. E. Raman Spectral Studies of HDO in H₂O. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1968**, *48*, 244–251.
- (73) Batys, P.; Zhang, Y.; Lutkenhaus, J. L.; Sammalkorpi, M. Hydration and Temperature Response of Water Mobility in Poly-(Diallyldimethylammonium)-Poly(Sodium 4-Styrenesulfonate) Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 8268–8277.
- (74) Choi, J.; Rubner, M. F. Influence of the Degree of Ionization on Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Assembly. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 116–124.
- (75) Shao, L.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Thermochemical Properties of Free-Standing Electrostatic Layer-by-Layer Assemblies Containing Poly-(Allylamine Hydrochloride) and Poly(Acrylic Acid). *Soft Matter* **2010**, *6*, 3363–3369.
- (76) Batys, P.; Kivistö, S.; Lalwani, S. M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L.; Sammalkorpi, M. Comparing Water-Mediated Hydrogen-Bonding in Different Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Soft Matter* **2019**, *15*, 7823–7831.
- (77) Suarez-Martinez, P. C.; Batys, P.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Time-Temperature and Time-Water Superposition Principles Applied to Poly(Allylamine)/Poly(Acrylic Acid) Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 3066–3074.
- (78) Spruijt, E.; Cohen Stuart, M. A.; van der Gucht, J. Linear Viscoelasticity of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 1633–1641.
- (79) Antila, H. S.; Sammalkorpi, M. Polyelectrolyte Decomplexation Via Addition of Salt: Charge Correlation Driven Zipper. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, *118*, 3226–3234.
- (80) Akkaoui, K.; Yang, M.; Digby, Z. A.; Schlenoff, J. B. Ultraviscosity in Entangled Polyelectrolyte Complexes and Coacervates. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53*, 4234–4246.
- (81) Akgöl, Y.; Cramer, C.; Hofmann, C.; Karatas, Y.; Wiemhöfer, H.-D.; Schönhoff, M. Humidity-Dependent DC Conductivity of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Protons or Other Small Ions as Charge Carriers? *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 7282–7287.
- (82) De, S.; Cramer, C.; Schönhoff, M. Humidity Dependence of the Ionic Conductivity of Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 8936–8943.
- (83) Cramer, C.; De, S.; Schönhoff, M. Time-Humidity-Superposition Principle in Electrical Conductivity Spectra of Ion-Conducting Polymers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, 028301.
- (84) De, S.; Ostendorf, A.; Schönhoff, M.; Cramer, C. Ion Conduction and Its Activation in Hydrated Solid Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Polymers* **2017**, *9*, 550.
- (85) Ostendorf, A.; Schönhoff, M.; Cramer, C. Ionic Conductivity of Solid Polyelectrolyte Complexes with Varying Water Content: Application of the Dynamic Structure Model. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 7321–7329.
- (86) Wang, J.; Xue, Y.-F.; Chen, X.-C.; Hu, M.; Ren, K.-F.; Ji, J. Humidity-Triggered Relaxation of Polyelectrolyte Complexes as a Robust Approach to Generate Extracellular Matrix Biomimetic Films. *Adv. Healthcare Mater.* **2020**, *9*, 2000381.
- (87) Huang, W.; Li, J.; Liu, D.; Tan, S.; Zhang, P.; Zhu, L.; Yang, S. Polyelectrolyte Complex Fiber of Alginate and Poly-(Diallyldimethylammonium Chloride): Humidity Induced Mechanical Transition and Shape Memory. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2020**, *2*, 2119–2125.
- (88) Lalwani, S. M.; Batys, P.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Relaxation Times of Solid-Like Polyelectrolyte Complexes of Varying pH and Water Content. *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54*, 7765–7776.
- (89) Doolittle, A. K. Studies in Newtonian Flow. II. The Dependence of the Viscosity of Liquids on Free-Space. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1951**, *22*, 1471–1475.
- (90) Teka, M.; Butergerds, D.; Schönhoff, M.; Fery, A.; Cramer, C. Scaling Properties of the Shear Modulus of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates: A Time-pH Superposition Principle. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 22552–22556.
- (91) O'Neal, J. T.; Dai, E. Y.; Zhang, Y.; Clark, K. B.; Wilcox, K. G.; George, I. M.; Ramasamy, N. E.; Enriquez, D.; Batys, P.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. QCM-D Investigation of Swelling Behavior of Layer-by-Layer Thin Films Upon Exposure to Monovalent Ions. *Langmuir* **2018**, *34*, 999–1009.
- (92) Eneh, C. I.; Kastinen, T.; Oka, S.; Batys, P.; Sammalkorpi, M.; Lutkenhaus, J. L. Quantification of Water-Ion Pair Interactions in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Using a Quartz Crystal Microbalance Method. *ACS Polymers Au* **2022**, *2*, 287–298.
- (93) Koehler, R.; Steitz, R.; von Klitzing, R. About Different Types of Water in Swollen Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2014**, *207*, 325–331.
- (94) Parveen, N.; Schönhoff, M. Quantifying and Controlling the Cation Uptake Upon Hydrated Ionic Liquid-Induced Swelling of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Soft Matter* **2017**, *13*, 1988–1997.
- (95) Zerball, M.; Laschewsky, A.; von Klitzing, R. Swelling of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: The Relation between Surface and Bulk Characteristics. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2015**, *119*, 11879–11886.
- (96) Fares, H. M.; Wang, Q.; Yang, M.; Schlenoff, J. B. Swelling and Inflation in Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 610–619.
- (97) Khavani, M.; Batys, P.; Lalwani, S. M.; Eneh, C. I.; Leino, A.; Lutkenhaus, J. L.; Sammalkorpi, M. Effect of Ethanol and Urea as Solvent Additives on PSS-PDADMA Polyelectrolyte Complexation. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 3140–3150.
- (98) Huang, X.; Schubert, A. B.; Chrisman, J. D.; Zacharia, N. S. Formation and Tunable Disassembly of Polyelectrolyte-Cu²⁺ Layer-by-Layer Complex Film. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 12959–12968.
- (99) Wei, J.; Hoagland, D. A.; Zhang, G.; Su, Z. Effect of Divalent Counterions on Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Properties. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 1790–1797.
- (100) Jukić, J.; Korade, K.; Milisav, A.-M.; Marion, I. D.; Kovačević, D. Ion-Specific and Solvent Effects on PDADMA-PSS Complexation and Multilayer Formation. *Colloids and Interfaces* **2021**, *5*, 38.
- (101) Poptoshev, E.; Schoeler, B.; Caruso, F. Influence of Solvent Quality on the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 829–834.
- (102) Löhmman, O.; Zerball, M.; von Klitzing, R. Water Uptake of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Including Water Condensation in Voids. *Langmuir* **2018**, *34*, 11518–11525.
- (103) Dodoo, S.; Steitz, R.; Laschewsky, A.; von Klitzing, R. Effect of Ionic Strength and Type of Ions on the Structure of Water Swollen Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 10318–10325.
- (104) Diddens, D.; Baschnagel, J.; Johner, A. Microscopic Structure of Compacted Polyelectrolyte Complexes: Insights from Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 123–127.
- (105) Sadman, K.; Wang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Keshavarz, B.; Jiang, Z.; Shull, K. R. Influence of Hydrophobicity on Polyelectrolyte Complexation. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 9417–9426.
- (106) Yang, M.; Sonawane, S. L.; Digby, Z. A.; Park, J. G.; Schlenoff, J. B. Influence of “Hydrophobicity” on the Composition and Dynamics of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 7594–7604.
- (107) Li, L.; Srivastava, S.; Meng, S.; Ting, J. M.; Tirrell, M. V. Effects of Non-Electrostatic Intermolecular Interactions on the Phase Behavior of pH-Sensitive Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53*, 7835–7844.